

Compatibility of a Jacobi structure and a Riemannian structure on a Lie algebroid

Compatibilité d'une structure de Jacobi et une structure Riemannienne sur une algebroide de Lie

Yacine Aït Amrane¹ and Ahmed Zeglaoui²

¹Laboratoire Algèbre et Théorie des Nombres, Faculté de Mathématiques, USTHB, BP 32, El-Alia, 16111 Bab-Ezzouar, Alger, Algeria

yacinait@gmail.com

²Laboratoire de Géométrie, Analyse, Contrôle et Applications, Département de Mathématiques - Faculté des Sciences Université de Saïda Dr Moulay Tahar, BP 138, Ennasr, 20006 Saïda, Algeria

ahmed.zeglaoui@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. In a preceding paper we introduced a notion of compatibility between a Jacobi structure and a Riemannian structure on a smooth manifold. We proved that in the case of fundamental examples of Jacobi structures : Poisson structures, contact structures and locally conformally symplectic structures, we get respectively Riemann-Poisson structures in the sense of M. Boucetta, $(1/2)$ -Kenmotsu structures and locally conformally Kähler structures. In this paper we are generalizing this work to the framework of Lie algebroids.

MSC. Primary 53C15; Secondary 53D05,53D10,53D17.

KEYWORDS. Jacobi structure, Riemannian Poisson structure, Kenmotsu structure, Locally conformally Kähler structure, Lie algebroid.

1. Introduction

In [3, 4], M. Boucetta introduced a notion of compatibility between a Poisson structure and a Riemannian structure on a smooth manifold, he called such a pair of compatible structures a Riemann-Poisson structure. In our preceding paper [1], we generalized this notion to a notion of compatibility between a Jacobi structure and a Riemannian structure and proved that in the case of a contact structure and of a locally conformally symplectic structure we get respectively a $(1/2)$ -Kensmotsu structure and a locally conformally Kähler structure.

By now, most of these classical geometric structures have been considered on a Lie algebroid. For a Poisson structure on a Lie algebroid see for instance [6, 9]. For a Riemannian structure see [5]. For almost complex structures, Hermitian, locally conformally symplectic and locally conformally Kähler structures, see [7] and the references therein. For contact Riemannian, almost contact Riemannian and Kenmotsu structures, see [8].

The goal of the present paper is to generalize to the framework of Lie algebroids the results obtained by the authors on a smooth manifold [1]. We first introduce a notion of compatibility between a Poisson structure and a Riemannian structure on a Lie algebroid that generalizes that same notion introduced by Boucetta on a smooth manifold [3], and then we extend this notion of compatibility to a Jacobi structure on a Lie algebroid.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall some basic notions about differential calculus on a Lie algebroid, [6, 9, 11], recall the notion of a Poisson structure on a Lie algebroid and establish

some identities we will need later in the work. Then, we introduce the notion of contravariant Levi-Civita connection associated with a bivector field on a (pseudo-)Riemannian Lie algebroid and a notion of compatibility of the bivector field and the (pseudo-)Riemannian metric. The Lie algebroid equipped with such a pair of compatible bivector field and metric will be called (pseudo-)Riemannian Poisson Lie algebroid.

In section 3, we consider a pair of a bivector field and a vector field on a Lie algebroid and associate with it a skew algebroid structure on the dual bundle. We compute the torsion and the Jacobiator of this skew algebroid; this gives conditions for it to be an almost Lie algebroid and a Lie algebroid respectively. We investigate the cases of a contact Lie algebroid and a locally conformally symplectic Lie algebroid. We prove using tensors that a contact structure is a Jacobi structure that comes from an almost cosymplectic structure and that a locally conformally symplectic structure corresponds exactly to a Jacobi structure with a nondegenerate bivector field, and then we prove that in these two cases the skew algebroid structure on the dual bundle is a Lie algebroid structure.

In the last section, we propose a notion of compatibility of a triple composed of a bivector field, a vector field and a (pseudo-)Riemannian metric on a skew algebroid. We show under certain conditions that this induces a Jacobi structure on the skew algebroid. Then, after having recalled the notions of contact Riemannian Lie algebroid and (1/2)-Kenmotsu Lie algebroid, we prove that the triple associated with the contact Riemannian Lie algebroid is compatible if and only if the Riemannian contact Lie algebroid is (1/2)-Kenmotsu. Finally, we show that in the case of a Jacobi structure associated with a locally conformally symplectic structure and a somehow associated metric, the triple is compatible if and only if the locally conformally symplectic structure is locally conformally Kähler.

2. Riemannian Poisson Lie algebroids

Let M be a smooth manifold. For a vector bundle A over M we will denote by $\Gamma(A)$ the space of sections of A .

2.1. Differential calculus on Lie algebroids

An anchored vector bundle on M is a vector bundle A on M together with a vector bundle morphism $\rho : A \rightarrow TM$. The vector bundle morphism ρ , called the anchor, induces a map from $\Gamma(A)$ to $\Gamma(TM)$ that is $C^\infty(M)$ -linear. A skew algebroid on M is an anchored vector bundle (A, ρ) on M endowed with a skew symmetric \mathbb{R} -bilinear map

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \longrightarrow \Gamma(A)$$

that satisfies the Leibniz identity

$$[a, \varphi b] = \varphi[a, b] + (\rho(a) \cdot \varphi)b, \quad \forall \varphi \in C^\infty(M), \forall a, b \in \Gamma(A).$$

An almost Lie algebroid on the base manifold M is a skew algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ such that the anchor ρ satisfies the property :

$$\rho([a, b]) = [\rho(a), \rho(b)], \quad \forall a, b \in \Gamma(A).$$

The bracket on the right hand side of the equality being the usual Lie bracket. A Lie algebroid on the base manifold M is a skew algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ such that the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ satisfies the Jacobi identity :

$$[[a, b], c] + [[b, c], a] + [[c, a], b] = 0, \quad \forall a, b, c \in \Gamma(A).$$

It is well known that the Leibniz identity and the Jacobi identity together imply that the anchor map is a Lie algebra morphism. Hence, a Lie algebroid is necessarily an almost Lie algebroid.

For a vector bundle A on M , we will denote by A^* the dual vector bundle. For a non negative integer k , denote by $\Gamma(\wedge^k A)$ (resp. $\Gamma(\wedge^k A^*)$) the space of A -multivector fields of degree k (resp. the space of A -forms of degree k). Denote by $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A) := \bigoplus \Gamma(\wedge^k A)$ the space of A -multivector fields and by $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*) := \bigoplus \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*)$ that of A -forms. Equipped with the exterior product " \wedge ", they are graded algebras. We can clearly define the interior product similarly to its definition for differential forms and multivector fields on a smooth manifold.

Let $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a skew algebroid on M . We define the Lie A -derivative with respect to a section $a \in \Gamma(A)$ to be the unique graded endomorphism \mathcal{L}_a^ρ of degree 0 of the graded algebra $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*)$ which satisfies the following properties :

$$\mathcal{L}_a^\rho \varphi := \mathcal{L}_{\rho(a)} \varphi = \rho(a) \cdot \varphi$$

for any $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$, and, for $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\mathcal{L}_a^\rho \eta(a_1, \dots, a_k) = \rho(a) \cdot \eta(a_1, \dots, a_k) - \sum_{i=1}^k \eta(a_1, \dots, [a, a_i], \dots, a_k)$$

for any $\eta \in \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*)$. The Lie A -derivative with respect to $a \in \Gamma(A)$ can be extended to the dual algebra $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A)$ as follows :

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_a^\rho P, \eta \rangle = \rho(a) \cdot \langle P, \eta \rangle - \langle P, \mathcal{L}_a^\rho \eta \rangle,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the duality bracket between $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A)$ and $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*)$. We clearly have $\mathcal{L}_a^\rho b = [a, b]$ for $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$.

Likewise, we define the exterior A -differential d_ρ , analogous to the exterior differential of differential forms, associated with the skew algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ as follows : for any $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$d_\rho \varphi(a) = \mathcal{L}_a^\rho \varphi = \rho(a) \cdot \varphi,$$

and, for $k \geq 1$ and $\eta \in \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*)$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} d_\rho \eta(a_0, \dots, a_k) &= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \rho(a_i) \cdot \eta(a_0, \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, a_k) \\ &+ \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{i+j} \eta([a_i, a_j], a_0, \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, \widehat{a}_j, \dots, a_k). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

The Lie A -derivative, the exterior A -differential and the interior product satisfy the Cartan formula, i.e., for $a \in \Gamma(A)$, we have :

$$\mathcal{L}_a^\rho = i_a \circ d_\rho + d_\rho \circ i_a. \tag{2.2}$$

Finally, recall that the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on A is the unique \mathbb{R} -bilinear map $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A) \times \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A)$ which satisfies the following properties :

(i) for $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$[\varphi, \psi] = 0,$$

(ii) for $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $P \in \Gamma(\wedge^k A)$,

$$[a, P] = \mathcal{L}_a^\rho P,$$

(iii) for $P \in \Gamma(\wedge^k A)$ and $Q \in \Gamma(\wedge^l A)$;

$$[P, Q] = (-1)^{kl} [Q, P]$$

(iv) for $P \in \Gamma(\wedge^k A)$, $Q \in \Gamma(\wedge^l A)$ and $R \in \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A)$,

$$[P, Q \wedge R] = [P, Q] \wedge R + (-1)^{(k+1)l} Q \wedge [P, R].$$

Recall also that if the skew algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is an almost Lie algebroid then for any $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$ we have $d_\rho(d_\rho \varphi) = 0$, and that, the skew algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Lie algebroid if and only if $d_\rho \circ d_\rho = 0$, which is also equivalent to the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket satisfying the graded Jacobi identity

$$(-1)^{kl} [[Q, R], P] + (-1)^{lr} [[R, P], Q] + (-1)^{rk} [[P, Q], R] = 0,$$

for any $P \in \Gamma(\wedge^k A)$, any $Q \in \Gamma(\wedge^l A)$ and any $R \in \Gamma(\wedge^r A)$.

2.2. Poisson Lie algebroids

A Poisson skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], \Pi)$ is a skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ equipped with an A -bivector field Π that satisfies $[\Pi, \Pi] = 0$. We also say that Π is a Poisson A -tensor.

Let $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a skew algebroid on M . To any A -bivector field Π , we associate vector bundle morphisms $\sharp_\Pi : A^* \rightarrow A$ and $\rho_\Pi : A^* \rightarrow TM$ defined by

$$\beta(\sharp_\Pi(\alpha)) := \Pi(\alpha, \beta) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_\Pi = \rho \circ \sharp_\Pi, \quad (2.3)$$

and a bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_\Pi$ on $\Gamma(A^*)$ defined by

$$[\alpha, \beta]_\Pi := \mathcal{L}_{\sharp_\Pi(\alpha)}^\rho \beta - \mathcal{L}_{\sharp_\Pi(\beta)}^\rho \alpha - d_\rho(\Pi(\alpha, \beta)), \quad (2.4)$$

called the Koszul bracket associated with Π . Therefore, with a bivector field Π on A we associate a skew algebroid structure $(\rho_\Pi, [\cdot, \cdot]_\Pi)$ on the dual bundle A^* of A .

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\Pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$. For any $Q \in \Gamma(\wedge^k A)$, we have*

$$d_{\rho_\Pi} Q = -[\Pi, Q].$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that in the classical case in [12, Prop.4.3]. □

In particular with $Q = \Pi$, using the formula (2.1) for the differential $d_{\rho_{\Pi}}$ we get the identity

$$[\Pi, \Pi](\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = - \oint \rho_{\Pi}(\alpha) \cdot \Pi(\beta, \gamma) + \oint \Pi([\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi}, \gamma), \quad (2.5)$$

where the symbol \oint means the cyclic sum in α, β, γ . Using this identity we get the following theorem that gives the torsion of the skew algebroid $(A^*, \rho_{\Pi}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\Pi})$, i.e. the default for the skew algebroid $(A^*, \rho_{\Pi}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\Pi})$ to be an almost Lie algebroid.

Theorem 2.2. *We have the identity*

$$\gamma(\sharp_{\Pi}([\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi}) - [\sharp_{\Pi}(\alpha), \sharp_{\Pi}(\beta)]) = \frac{1}{2} [\Pi, \Pi](\alpha, \beta, \gamma). \quad (2.6)$$

Therefore, if $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], \Pi)$ is a Poisson almost Lie algebroid, the skew algebroid $(A^*, \rho_{\Pi}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\Pi})$ associated with is an almost Lie algebroid.

Proof. For $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma(A^*)$, put

$$\Phi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) := \gamma(\sharp_{\Pi}([\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi}) - [\sharp_{\Pi}(\alpha), \sharp_{\Pi}(\beta)]).$$

Clearly we have $\Phi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = -\Phi(\beta, \alpha, \gamma)$, i.e. Φ is skew symmetric in the two first variables. Now, since $\gamma(\sharp_{\Pi}([\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi})) = -[\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi}(\sharp_{\Pi}(\gamma))$, by (2.4) we get

$$\gamma(\sharp_{\Pi}([\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi})) = -\mathcal{L}_{\sharp_{\Pi}(\alpha)}^{\rho} \beta(\sharp_{\Pi}(\gamma)) + \mathcal{L}_{\sharp_{\Pi}(\beta)}^{\rho} \alpha(\sharp_{\Pi}(\gamma)) + d_{\rho}(\Pi(\alpha, \beta))(\sharp_{\Pi}(\gamma)),$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= \rho_{\Pi}(\alpha) \cdot \Pi(\beta, \gamma) + \rho_{\Pi}(\beta) \cdot \Pi(\gamma, \alpha) + \rho_{\Pi}(\gamma) \cdot \Pi(\alpha, \beta) \\ &\quad - \alpha([\sharp_{\Pi}(\beta), \sharp_{\Pi}(\gamma)]) - \beta([\sharp_{\Pi}(\gamma), \sharp_{\Pi}(\alpha)]) - \gamma([\sharp_{\Pi}(\alpha), \sharp_{\Pi}(\beta)]) \end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce, on one hand, that $\Phi(\alpha, \gamma, \beta) = -\Phi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, and on the other hand, using the identity (2.5) and the definition of the mapping Φ , that

$$\Phi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = -[\Pi, \Pi](\alpha, \beta, \gamma) + \Phi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) + \Phi(\beta, \gamma, \alpha) + \Phi(\gamma, \alpha, \beta).$$

Hence, since Φ is an alternating map, $[\Pi, \Pi](\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 2\Phi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. □

Remark 2.3. A symplectic form on a skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$, or a symplectic A -form, is a nondegenerate A -2-form $\Omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A^*)$ such that $d_{\rho}\Omega = 0$. We call $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], \Omega)$ a symplectic skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid. Let $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a skew algebroid, $\Omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A^*)$ be a nondegenerate 2-form on A , \sharp_{Ω} be the inverse isomorphism of the vector bundle isomorphism $\flat_{\Omega} : A \rightarrow A^*$, $\flat_{\Omega}(a) = -i_a\Omega$, and Π be the A -bivector field defined by

$$\Pi(\alpha, \beta) = \Omega(\sharp_{\Omega}(\alpha), \sharp_{\Omega}(\beta)).$$

We have $\sharp_{\Pi} = \sharp_{\Omega}$, and using the formulae (2.5) and (2.6) we get the identity

$$[\Pi, \Pi](\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 2d_{\rho}\Omega(\sharp_{\Omega}(\alpha), \sharp_{\Omega}(\beta), \sharp_{\Omega}(\gamma)).$$

Hence, Π is a Poisson A -tensor if and only if Ω is a symplectic A -form.

Denote by J_Π the Jacobiator of the skew algebroid $(A^*, \rho_\Pi, [., .]_\Pi)$, i.e.,

$$J_\Pi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \oint [[\alpha, \beta]_\Pi, \gamma]_\Pi,$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma(A^*)$. The following result gives for a Lie algebroid $(A, \rho, [., .])$ together with an A -bivector field Π the Jacobiator of the skew algebroid $(A^*, \rho_\Pi, [., .]_\Pi)$, i.e. the default for $(A^*, \rho_\Pi, [., .]_\Pi)$ to be a Lie algebroid.

Theorem 2.4. *Assume that (A, ρ, Π) is a Lie algebroid. Then*

$$J_\Pi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \oint \mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi([\alpha, \beta]_\Pi) - [\#_\Pi(\alpha), \#_\Pi(\beta)]}^\rho \gamma - \oint d_\rho \left(\Pi(\mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(\alpha)}^\rho \beta, \gamma) + \Pi(\beta, \mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(\alpha)}^\rho \gamma) \right).$$

Proof. First, by (2.4), for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma(A^*)$, we have

$$[d_\rho(\Pi(\alpha, \beta)), \gamma]_\Pi = \mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(d_\rho(\Pi(\alpha, \beta)))}^\rho \gamma - \mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(\gamma)}^\rho (d_\rho(\Pi(\alpha, \beta))) - d_\rho(\Pi(d_\rho(\Pi(\alpha, \beta)), \gamma)).$$

Since $(A, \rho, [., .])$ is a Lie algebroid, hence an almost Lie algebroid, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(\gamma)}^\rho (d_\rho(\Pi(\alpha, \beta))) = d_\rho(\mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(\gamma)}^\rho (\Pi(\alpha, \beta))) = d_\rho(\rho_\Pi(\gamma) \cdot \Pi(\alpha, \beta)),$$

and since

$$\Pi(d_\rho(\Pi(\alpha, \beta)), \gamma) = -d_\rho(\Pi(\alpha, \beta))(\#_\Pi(\gamma)) = -\rho_\Pi(\gamma) \cdot \Pi(\alpha, \beta),$$

we deduce that

$$[d_\rho(\Pi(\alpha, \beta)), \gamma]_\Pi = \mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(d_\rho(\Pi(\alpha, \beta)))}^\rho \gamma.$$

Consequently, by (2.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} [[\alpha, \beta]_\Pi, \gamma]_\Pi &= \mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi([\alpha, \beta]_\Pi)}^\rho \gamma - \mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(\gamma)}^\rho \left(\mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(\alpha)}^\rho \beta \right) + \mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(\gamma)}^\rho \left(\mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(\beta)}^\rho \alpha \right) \\ &\quad - d_\rho \left[\Pi(\mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(\alpha)}^\rho \beta, \gamma) + \Pi(\gamma, \mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(\beta)}^\rho \alpha) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Since $(A, \rho, [., .])$ is a Lie algebroid we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(\gamma)}^\rho \left(\mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(\alpha)}^\rho \beta \right) - \mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(\alpha)}^\rho \left(\mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(\gamma)}^\rho \beta \right) = \mathcal{L}_{[\#_\Pi(\gamma), \#_\Pi(\alpha)]}^\rho \beta.$$

Therefore, taking the cyclic sum in α, β, γ on the two sides of the equality (2.7) we get the result. \square

Corollary 2.5. *If $(A, \rho, [., .], \Pi)$ is a Poisson Lie algebroid, then $(A^*, \rho_\Pi, [., .]_\Pi)$ is a Lie algebroid.*

Proof. We need to prove that $J_\Pi = 0$. Since $[\Pi, \Pi] = 0$, by Theorem 2.2 and the theorem above we have

$$J_\Pi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = - \oint d_\rho \left(\Pi(\mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(\alpha)}^\rho \beta, \gamma) + \Pi(\beta, \mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(\alpha)}^\rho \gamma) \right).$$

For any α, β, γ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(\mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(\alpha)}^\rho \beta, \gamma) &= -\mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(\alpha)}^\rho \beta(\#_\Pi(\gamma)) \\ &= -\rho_\Pi(\alpha)(\beta(\#_\Pi(\gamma))) + \beta([\#_\Pi(\alpha), \#_\Pi(\gamma)]) \\ &= \rho_\Pi(\alpha) \cdot \Pi(\beta, \gamma) - \beta([\#_\Pi(\gamma), \#_\Pi(\alpha)]). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting in the expression of J_Π , we get

$$J_\Pi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = -2d_\rho \left[\oint \rho_\Pi(\alpha) \cdot \Pi(\beta, \gamma) - \oint \alpha([\#_\Pi(\beta), \#_\Pi(\gamma)]) \right].$$

Since $[\Pi, \Pi]=0$, by (2.5) and Theorem 2.2, it follows that $J_\Pi = 0$. \square

When A is the tangent Lie algebroid TM , the Lie algebroid $(A^*, \rho_\Pi, [\cdot, \cdot]_\Pi)$ is the cotangent Lie algebroid of the Poisson manifold (M, Π) .

2.3. Riemannian Poisson Lie algebroids

Contravariant A -connections associated with an A -bivector field

Let $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a skew algebroid. An affine connection on $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$, or an affine A -connection, is an \mathbb{R} -bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) &\longrightarrow \Gamma(A) \\ (a, b) &\longmapsto \nabla_a b \end{aligned}$$

verifying $\nabla_{\varphi a} b = \varphi \nabla_a b$ and $\nabla_a(\varphi b) = \varphi \nabla_a b + (\rho(a) \cdot \varphi) b$, for any $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$ and $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$. The A -torsion T^∇ of the connection ∇ is defined by

$$T^\nabla(a, b) = \nabla_a b - \nabla_b a - [a, b]$$

for any $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$. The A -connection ∇ is called symmetric, or torsion free, if its A -torsion is null.

Let Π be an A -bivector field. An affine connection on the skew algebroid $(A^*, \rho_\Pi, [\cdot, \cdot]_\Pi)$ associated with Π will be called a contravariant A -connection associated with Π . If Π is nondegenerate, the formula

$$D_\alpha \beta = \sharp_\Pi^{-1} (\nabla_{\sharp_\Pi(\alpha)} (\sharp_\Pi(\beta)))$$

establishes a one-one correspondence between the affine A -connections ∇ and the contravariant A -connections D associated with the A -bivector field Π .

A (pseudo-)Riemannian skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid is a quadruple $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], g)$ where $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid and g is a (pseudo-)Riemannian metric on its underlying vector bundle A .

Let $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], g)$ be a pseudo-Riemannian skew algebroid. There is a unique affine A -connection ∇ which is symmetric, i.e.

$$\nabla_a b - \nabla_b a = [a, b],$$

and compatible with g , i.e.

$$\rho(a) \cdot g(b, c) = g(\nabla_a b, c) + g(b, \nabla_a c).$$

It is entirely characterized by the Koszul formula :

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(\nabla_a b, c) &= \rho(a) \cdot g(b, c) + \rho(b) \cdot g(a, c) - \rho(c) \cdot g(a, b) \\ &\quad - g([b, c], a) - g([a, c], b) + g([a, b], c), \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

for any $a, b, c \in \Gamma(A)$. We call ∇ the Levi-Civita A -connection associated with g , or the Levi-Civita connection of the pseudo-Riemannian skew algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], g)$.

Let \flat_g be the vector bundle isomorphism $\Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A^*)$, $a \longmapsto g(a, \cdot)$, and \sharp_g be the inverse isomorphism. The cometric g^* of g is the pseudo-Riemannian metric on the dual bundle A^* defined by

$$g^*(\alpha, \beta) = g(\sharp_g(\alpha), \sharp_g(\beta)), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*).$$

Let Π be a bivector field on A and let $(A^*, \rho_\Pi, [\cdot, \cdot]_\Pi)$ be the associated skew algebroid. The Levi-Civita connection D of the pseudo-Riemannian skew algebroid $(A^*, \rho_\Pi, [\cdot, \cdot]_\Pi, g^*)$ will be called the contravariant Levi-Civita A -connection associated with the pair (Π, g) .

Compatibility of a bivector field and a Riemannian metric on a Lie algebroid

Let $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], g)$ be a pseudo-Riemannian skew algebroid and let Π be a bivector field on A . We say that the metric g is compatible with the bivector field Π or that the pair (Π, g) is compatible if $D\Pi = 0$, i.e., if

$$\rho_{\Pi}(\alpha) \cdot \Pi(\beta, \gamma) = \Pi(D_{\alpha}\beta, \gamma) + \Pi(\beta, D_{\alpha}\gamma),$$

for every $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma(A^*)$.

With the pair (Π, g) we associate the vector bundle endomorphisms J of A and J^* of A^* defined respectively by

$$g(J\sharp_g(\alpha), \sharp_g(\beta)) = \Pi(\alpha, \beta) \quad \text{and} \quad g^*(J^*\alpha, \beta) = \Pi(\alpha, \beta). \quad (2.9)$$

Since $Dg^* = 0$, we have, for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma(A^*)$,

$$\begin{aligned} g^*(\alpha, D_{\gamma}J^*(\beta)) &= g^*(\alpha, D_{\gamma}(J^*\beta)) - g^*(\alpha, J^*(D_{\gamma}\beta)) \\ &= \rho_{\Pi}(\gamma) \cdot g^*(\alpha, J^*\beta) - g^*(D_{\gamma}\alpha, J^*\beta) - g^*(\alpha, J^*(D_{\gamma}\beta)), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, by the second equality in (2.9),

$$g^*(\alpha, D_{\gamma}J^*(\beta)) = -\rho_{\Pi}(\gamma) \cdot \Pi(\alpha, \beta) + \Pi(D_{\gamma}\alpha, \beta) + \Pi(\alpha, D_{\gamma}\beta). \quad (2.10)$$

Hence $g^*(\alpha, D_{\gamma}J^*(\beta)) = -D_{\gamma}\Pi(\alpha, \beta)$, from which we deduce that the pair (Π, g) is compatible if and only if $DJ^* = 0$, or equivalently $DJ = 0$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], g)$ be a pseudo-Riemannian skew algebroid and let Π be an A -bivector field. If the pair (Π, g) is compatible then $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], \Pi)$ is a Poisson skew algebroid.*

Proof. Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma(A^*)$. Taking the cyclic sum in α, β, γ on the two sides of the formula (2.10), taking in account that D is symmetric and using (2.5) we get the identity :

$$[\Pi, \Pi](\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = g^*(\alpha, D_{\gamma}J^*(\beta)) + g^*(\beta, D_{\alpha}J^*(\gamma)) + g^*(\gamma, D_{\beta}J^*(\alpha))$$

from which the result follows. □

A (pseudo-)Riemannian Poisson skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], \Pi, g)$ is a skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ equipped with a (pseudo-)Riemannian metric g and an A -bivector field Π that are compatible. This definition is justified by the proposition above (i.e. Π is necessarily Poisson).

Example. 1. When $A = TM$, we get the notion of (pseudo-)Riemannian Poisson manifold defined by M. Boucetta, see [3, 4].

2. Let $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a skew algebroid, Ω be a nondegenerate A -form and Π be the A -bivector field associated with in Remark 2.3. Assume that g is a pseudo-Riemannian metric on A associated with Ω , i.e.,

$$g^*(\alpha, \beta) = g(\sharp_{\Omega}(\alpha), \sharp_{\Omega}(\beta)),$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$. If D is the contravariant Levi-Civita A -connection associated with the pair (Π, g) , then

$$\sharp_{\Omega}(D_{\alpha}\beta) = \nabla_{\sharp_{\Omega}(\alpha)}(\sharp_{\Omega}(\beta)),$$

where ∇ is the (covariant) Levi-Civita A -connection associated with g . Hence,

$$D\Pi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \nabla\Omega(\sharp_{\Omega}(\alpha), \sharp_{\Omega}(\beta), \sharp_{\Omega}(\gamma))$$

for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma(A^*)$. Therefore, $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], \Pi, g)$ is Riemannian Poisson if and only if $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], \Omega, g)$ is Kähler.

3. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a real Lie algebra of finite dimension seen as a Lie algebroid over a point ($\rho = 0$). A \mathfrak{g} -bivector field Π is just a skew symmetric bilinear form on the dual space \mathfrak{g}^* of \mathfrak{g} . Hence, the associated Koszul bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\Pi}$ is defined by

$$[\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi}(a) = \alpha([\sharp_{\Pi}(\beta), a]) - \beta([\sharp_{\Pi}(\alpha), a]),$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ and any $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a (pseudo-)Riemannian metric on the Lie algebroid $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$, i.e. a scalar product on the vector space \mathfrak{g} , and let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^*$ be its cometric. The contravariant Levi-Civita \mathfrak{g} -connection associated with the pair $(\Pi, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is defined by

$$2\langle D_{\alpha}\beta, \gamma \rangle^* = \langle [\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi}, \gamma \rangle^* - \langle [\beta, \gamma]_{\Pi}, \alpha \rangle^* - \langle [\alpha, \gamma]_{\Pi}, \beta \rangle^*.$$

The pair $(\Pi, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is compatible if and only if

$$\Pi(D_{\alpha}\beta, \gamma) + \Pi(\beta, D_{\alpha}\gamma) = 0,$$

for every $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. So, if this last identity is satisfied, we call the quadruple $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot], \Pi, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ a (pseudo-)Riemannian Poisson Lie algebra.

3. Jacobi structure on a Lie algebroid

3.1. Jacobi structure on an almost Lie algebroid

Let $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a skew algebroid on M . Let Π be an A -bivector field and ξ be an A -vector field. We say that the pair (Π, ξ) is a Jacobi structure on $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ if the following identities are satisfied

$$[\Pi, \Pi] = 2\xi \wedge \Pi \quad \text{and} \quad [\xi, \Pi] = \mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho}\Pi = 0.$$

A Jacobi structure (Π, ξ) for which $\xi = 0$ is just a Poisson structure.

If $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is an almost Lie algebroid the pushforward of multivectors $\rho_{*} : \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet}A) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet}TM)$ is compatible with the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket and in particular we have

$$\rho_{*}[\Pi, \Pi] = [\rho_{*}\Pi, \rho_{*}\Pi] \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{*}[\xi, \Pi] = [\rho_{*}\xi, \rho_{*}\Pi].$$

Therefore, a Jacobi structure (Π, ξ) on the almost Lie algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ induces a Jacobi structure $(\rho_{*}\Pi, \rho_{*}\xi)$ on the manifold M . The corresponding Jacobi bracket on the smooth functions $C^{\infty}(M)$ is given by

$$\{\varphi, \psi\} = \rho_{*}\Pi(d\varphi, d\psi) + \varphi\mathcal{L}_{\rho_{*}\xi}\psi - \psi\mathcal{L}_{\rho_{*}\xi}\varphi,$$

i.e.

$$\{\varphi, \psi\} = \Pi(d_{\rho}\varphi, d_{\rho}\psi) + \varphi\mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho}\psi - \psi\mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho}\varphi.$$

When $\xi = 0$, the A -Poisson tensor Π induces a Poisson tensor $\rho_{*}\Pi$ on M , the corresponding Poisson bracket on the smooth functions $C^{\infty}(M)$ being given by $\{\varphi, \psi\} = \Pi(d_{\rho}\varphi, d_{\rho}\psi)$.

3.2. Skew algebroids associated with the pair (Π, ξ)

Let $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a skew algebroid. Let Π be an A -bivector field and ξ be an A -vector field. With the pair (Π, ξ) we associate the vector bundle morphisms $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi} : A^* \rightarrow A$ and $\rho_{\Pi, \xi} : A^* \rightarrow TM$ defined by

$$\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha) = \sharp_{\Pi}(\alpha) + \alpha(\xi)\xi \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{\Pi, \xi} = \rho \circ \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}. \quad (3.1)$$

For any section λ of the vector bundle A^* , consider the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\Pi, \xi}^{\lambda}$ on $\Gamma(A^*)$ defined by

$$[\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi, \xi}^{\lambda} = [\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi} + \alpha(\xi) \left(\mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho} \beta - \beta \right) - \beta(\xi) \left(\mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho} \alpha - \alpha \right) - \Pi(\alpha, \beta)\lambda. \quad (3.2)$$

The pair $(\rho_{\Pi, \xi}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\Pi, \xi}^{\lambda})$ induces a skew algebroid structure on the dual bundle of A . We call $(A^*, \rho_{\Pi, \xi}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\Pi, \xi}^{\lambda})$ the skew algebroid associated with the triple (Π, ξ, λ) . In the particular case where $\xi = 0$ and $\lambda = 0$, we get the skew algebroid $(A^*, \rho_{\Pi}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\Pi})$ associated in §2.2 with the A -bivector field Π .

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that (Π, ξ) is a Jacobi structure on the skew algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ and let $\lambda \in \Gamma(A^*)$. We have*

$$\sharp_{\Pi, \xi} \left([\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi, \xi}^{\lambda} \right) - [\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha), \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta)] = \Pi(\alpha, \beta) (\xi - \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\lambda)),$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$.

Proof. The proof is the same as in the classical case of the tangent algebroid $A = TM$, [1, Th. 2.1]. Use Theorem 2.2. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Assume that $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ in the theorem above is an almost Lie algebroid. If $\xi - \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\lambda) \in \ker \rho$, then the skew algebroid $(A^*, \rho_{\Pi, \xi}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\Pi, \xi}^{\lambda})$ is an almost Lie algebroid, i.e., we have*

$$\rho_{\Pi, \xi} \left([\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi, \xi}^{\lambda} \right) = [\rho_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha), \rho_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta)],$$

for any A -forms α and β . The converse is also true if $\Pi \neq 0$.

Proof. Applying ρ to the identity in the theorem above, we get the following identity

$$\rho_{\Pi, \xi} \left([\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi, \xi}^{\lambda} \right) - [\rho_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha), \rho_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta)] = \Pi(\alpha, \beta)\rho(\xi - \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\lambda))$$

that gives the torsion of the skew algebroid $(A^*, \rho_{\Pi, \xi}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\Pi, \xi}^{\lambda})$, i.e. the default for it to be an almost Lie algebroid. \square

The following result gives for a Lie algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ the Jacobiator $J_{\Pi, \xi}^{\lambda}$,

$$J_{\Pi, \xi}^{\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) := \oint [[\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi, \xi}^{\lambda}, \gamma]_{\Pi, \xi}^{\lambda},$$

of the skew algebroid $(A^*, \rho_{\Pi, \xi}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\Pi, \xi}^{\lambda})$.

Theorem 3.3. Assume that $(A, \rho, [., .])$ is a Lie algebroid. We have

$$J_{\Pi, \xi}^{\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \mathfrak{f} \mathcal{L}_{(\frac{1}{2}[\Pi, \Pi] - \xi \wedge \Pi)(\alpha, \beta, .)}^{\rho} \gamma + \left[(2\xi \wedge \Pi - [\Pi, \Pi])(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) + \mathfrak{f} \gamma(\xi) \mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho} \Pi(\alpha, \beta) \right] \lambda \\ + d_{\rho} \left((2\xi \wedge \Pi - [\Pi, \Pi])(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \right) - \mathfrak{f} \gamma(\xi) \mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho} ([., .]_{\Pi})(\alpha, \beta) \\ + \mathfrak{f} (\mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho} \gamma - \gamma) \mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho} \Pi(\alpha, \beta) + \mathfrak{f} \Pi(\alpha, \beta) \left(\mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho} \gamma - [\lambda, \gamma]_{\Pi, \xi}^{\lambda} \right),$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho} ([., .]_{\Pi})(\alpha, \beta) = \mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho} ([\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi}) - [\mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho} \alpha, \beta]_{\Pi} - [\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho} \beta]_{\Pi}$.

Proof. A long but direct calculation using (2.4), (2.5), (3.1) and (3.2) gives

$$J_{\Pi, \xi}^{\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = J_{\Pi}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) - \mathfrak{f} \gamma(\xi) \mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho} ([., .]_{\Pi})(\alpha, \beta) + \mathfrak{f} (\mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho} \gamma - \gamma) \mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho} \Pi(\alpha, \beta) \\ - \mathfrak{f} \gamma(\xi) [\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi} + \left((2\xi \wedge \Pi - [\Pi, \Pi])(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) + \mathfrak{f} \gamma(\xi) \mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho} \Pi(\alpha, \beta) \right) \lambda \\ + \mathfrak{f} \Pi(\alpha, \beta) [\gamma, \lambda]_{\Pi, \xi}^{\lambda}.$$

By Theorem 2.4, we have

$$J_{\Pi}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \mathfrak{f} \mathcal{L}_{\#_{\Pi}([\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi}) - [\#_{\Pi}(\alpha), \#_{\Pi}(\beta)]}^{\rho} \gamma - \mathfrak{f} d_{\rho} \left(\Pi(\mathcal{L}_{\#_{\Pi}(\alpha)}^{\rho} \beta, \gamma) + \Pi(\beta, \mathcal{L}_{\#_{\Pi}(\alpha)}^{\rho} \gamma) \right).$$

On one hand, since by (2.6) we have

$$\#_{\Pi}([\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi}) - [\#_{\Pi}(\alpha), \#_{\Pi}(\beta)] = \left(\frac{1}{2}[\Pi, \Pi] - \xi \wedge \Pi \right) (\alpha, \beta, .) + \alpha(\xi) \#_{\Pi}(\beta) - \beta(\xi) \#_{\Pi}(\alpha) \\ + \Pi(\alpha, \beta) \xi,$$

it comes that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\#_{\Pi}([\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi}) - [\#_{\Pi}(\alpha), \#_{\Pi}(\beta)]}^{\rho} \gamma = \mathcal{L}_{(\frac{1}{2}[\Pi, \Pi] - \xi \wedge \Pi)(\alpha, \beta, .)}^{\rho} \gamma + \alpha(\xi) \mathcal{L}_{\#_{\Pi}(\beta)}^{\rho} \gamma - \beta(\xi) \mathcal{L}_{\#_{\Pi}(\alpha)}^{\rho} \gamma \\ + \gamma(\xi) d_{\rho}(\Pi(\alpha, \beta)) + \Pi(\beta, \gamma) d_{\rho}(\alpha(\xi)) + \Pi(\gamma, \alpha) d_{\rho}(\beta(\xi)) \\ + \Pi(\alpha, \beta) \mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho} \gamma.$$

Taking the cyclic sum in α, β, γ on the two sides we get

$$\mathfrak{f} \mathcal{L}_{\#_{\Pi}([\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi}) - [\#_{\Pi}(\alpha), \#_{\Pi}(\beta)]}^{\rho} \gamma = \mathfrak{f} \mathcal{L}_{(\frac{1}{2}[\Pi, \Pi] - \xi \wedge \Pi)(\alpha, \beta, .)}^{\rho} \gamma + \mathfrak{f} \gamma(\xi) [\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi} \\ + 2d_{\rho} \left((\xi \wedge \Pi)(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \right) + \mathfrak{f} \Pi(\alpha, \beta) \mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho} \gamma.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\Pi(\mathcal{L}_{\#_{\Pi}(\alpha)}^{\rho} \beta, \gamma) = -\mathcal{L}_{\#_{\Pi}(\alpha)}^{\rho} \beta(\#_{\Pi}(\gamma)) = \rho_{\Pi}(\alpha) \cdot \Pi(\beta, \gamma) - \beta([\#_{\Pi}(\gamma), \#_{\Pi}(\alpha)]),$$

and then, by (2.6),

$$\Pi(\mathcal{L}_{\#_{\Pi}(\alpha)}^{\rho} \beta, \gamma) = \rho_{\Pi}(\alpha) \cdot \Pi(\beta, \gamma) - \Pi([\gamma, \alpha]_{\Pi}, \beta) + \frac{1}{2}[\Pi, \Pi](\gamma, \alpha, \beta).$$

Hence,

$$\Pi(\mathcal{L}_{\#_{\Pi}(\alpha)}^{\rho} \beta, \gamma) + \Pi(\beta, \mathcal{L}_{\#_{\Pi}(\alpha)}^{\rho} \gamma) = 2\rho_{\Pi}(\alpha) \cdot \Pi(\beta, \gamma) - \Pi([\gamma, \alpha]_{\Pi}, \beta) - \Pi([\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi}, \gamma) \\ + [\Pi, \Pi](\alpha, \beta, \gamma),$$

and taking the cyclic sum on the two sides we get

$$\mathfrak{f} (\Pi(\mathcal{L}_{\#_{\Pi}(\alpha)}^{\rho} \beta, \gamma) + \Pi(\beta, \mathcal{L}_{\#_{\Pi}(\alpha)}^{\rho} \gamma)) = 2\mathfrak{f} (\rho_{\Pi}(\alpha) \cdot \Pi(\beta, \gamma) - \Pi([\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi}, \gamma)) \\ + 3[\Pi, \Pi](\alpha, \beta, \gamma),$$

and consequently, by (2.5),

$$\oint (\Pi(\mathcal{L}_{\sharp_{\Pi}(\alpha)}^{\rho}\beta, \gamma) + \Pi(\beta, \mathcal{L}_{\sharp_{\Pi}(\alpha)}^{\rho}\gamma)) = [\Pi, \Pi](\alpha, \beta, \gamma).$$

Substituting in the expression of J_{Π} above we get

$$J_{\Pi}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \oint \mathcal{L}_{\left(\frac{1}{2}[\Pi, \Pi] - \xi \wedge \Pi\right)(\alpha, \beta, \cdot)}^{\rho} \gamma + \oint \gamma(\xi)[\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi} + \oint \Pi(\alpha, \beta) \mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho} \gamma + d_{\rho}((2\xi \wedge \Pi - [\Pi, \Pi])(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)).$$

It remains only to substitute this in the expression of $J_{\Pi, \xi}^{\lambda}$ above to get the result. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Assume that (Π, ξ) is a Jacobi structure on a Lie algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$. If λ satisfies the property :*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho} \alpha = [\lambda, \alpha]_{\Pi, \xi}^{\lambda} \quad \text{for any } A\text{-1-form } \alpha,$$

then $(A^*, \rho_{\Pi, \xi}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\Pi, \xi}^{\lambda})$ is a Lie algebroid.

Proof. We need only to prove that $J_{\Pi, \xi}^{\lambda} = 0$. From the theorem above we see that

$$J_{\Pi, \xi}^{\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = - \oint \gamma(\xi) \mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho}([\cdot, \cdot]_{\Pi})(\alpha, \beta).$$

A direct calculation gives

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho}([\cdot, \cdot]_{\Pi})(\alpha, \beta) = \mathcal{L}_{[\xi, \sharp_{\Pi}(\alpha)] - \sharp_{\Pi}(\mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho} \alpha)}^{\rho} \beta - \mathcal{L}_{[\xi, \sharp_{\Pi}(\beta)] - \sharp_{\Pi}(\mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho} \beta)}^{\rho} \alpha - d_{\rho}(\mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho} \Pi(\alpha, \beta)).$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho} \Pi = 0$, then $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\rho}([\cdot, \cdot]_{\Pi})(\alpha, \beta) = 0$. \square

3.3. Contact Lie algebroids

In this paragraph we use the global language of tensors to generalize to the Lie algebroid framework the results in [10] about the contravariant characterization of almost cosymplectic manifolds and contact manifolds.

Almost cosymplectic structures on a skew algebroid

Let $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a skew algebroid with the underlying vector bundle A of odd rank $2m + 1$. By an almost cosymplectic structure on A we mean a pair (Ω, η) of a 1-form η and a 2-form Ω on A such that the $(2m + 1)$ -form $\eta \wedge \Omega^m$ is everywhere nonzero. It is then clear that Ω is of rank $2m$, and, as in the classical case, that there is a unique vector field ξ on A such that

$$i_{\xi} \Omega = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad i_{\xi} \eta = 1. \tag{3.3}$$

We call the A -vector field ξ the Reeb section or the fundamental A -vector field. With the almost cosymplectic structure (Ω, η) we associate a pair (Π, ξ) where ξ is the Reeb section and Π is the A -bivector field, called the fundamental A -bivector field, defined by

$$\Pi(\alpha, \beta) = \Omega(\sharp_{\Omega, \eta}(\alpha), \sharp_{\Omega, \eta}(\beta)),$$

where $\sharp_{\Omega, \eta}$ is the inverse isomorphism of the vector bundle isomorphism $b_{\Omega, \eta} : A \rightarrow A^*$ defined by

$$b_{\Omega, \eta}(a) = -i_a \Omega + \eta(a) \eta.$$

Lemma 3.5. We have $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi} = \sharp_{\Omega, \eta}$.

Proof. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$ and let a, b such that $\alpha = \flat_{\Omega, \eta}(a)$ and $\beta = \flat_{\Omega, \eta}(b)$. Notice that $\alpha(\xi) = \flat_{\Omega, \eta}(a)(\xi) = i_\xi \Omega(a) + \eta(a)\eta(\xi) = \eta(a)$ and likewise $\beta(\xi) = \eta(b)$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha)) &= \Pi(\alpha, \beta) + \alpha(\xi)\beta(\xi) \\ &= \Omega(a, b) + \eta(a)\eta(b) \\ &= \flat_{\Omega, \eta}(b)(a) \\ &= \beta(\sharp_{\Omega, \eta}(\alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi} = \sharp_{\Omega, \eta}$. □

Proposition 3.6. Let (Ω, η) be an almost cosymplectic structure on A and let (Π, ξ) be the associated fundamental pair. Let a, b, c be A -vector fields and let $\alpha = \flat_{\Omega, \eta}(a)$, $\beta = \flat_{\Omega, \eta}(b)$ and $\gamma = \flat_{\Omega, \eta}(c)$. We have

1. $(\frac{1}{2}[\Pi, \Pi] - \xi \wedge \Pi)(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(d_\rho \Omega + \eta \wedge (d_\rho \eta - \Omega - \mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \Omega) \right)(a, b, c)$.
2. $\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \Pi(\alpha, \beta) = \left(\eta \wedge \mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \eta - \mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \Omega \right)(a, b)$.

Proof. Notice that since we have $\alpha(\xi) = \eta(a)$, $\beta(\xi) = \eta(b)$, $\gamma(\xi) = \eta(c)$ and, by the lemma above, $\sharp_{\Omega, \eta} = \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}$, it comes that $\sharp_\Pi(\alpha) = a - \eta(a)\xi$, $\sharp_\Pi(\beta) = b - \eta(b)\xi$ and $\sharp_\Pi(\gamma) = c - \eta(c)\xi$. Let us now do some computations. First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\sharp_\Pi(\alpha), \sharp_\Pi(\beta)] &= [a, b] - \eta(a)[\xi, b] + \eta(b)[\xi, a] \\ &\quad (\rho(b)(\eta(a)) - \rho(a)(\eta(b)) + \eta(a)\rho(\xi)(\eta(b)) - \eta(b)\rho(\xi)(\eta(a))) \xi. \end{aligned}$$

Applying η and since $\eta(\xi) = 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \eta([\sharp_\Pi(\alpha), \sharp_\Pi(\beta)]) &= \eta([a, b]) - \rho(a)(\eta(b)) + \rho(b)(\eta(a)) \\ &\quad \eta(a)\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \eta(b) - \eta(b)\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \eta(a), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\eta([\sharp_\Pi(\alpha), \sharp_\Pi(\beta)]) = \left(\eta \wedge \mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \eta - d_\rho \eta \right)(a, b). \tag{3.4}$$

Also, using $i_\xi \Omega = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega([\sharp_\Pi(\alpha), \sharp_\Pi(\beta)], \sharp_\Pi(\gamma)) &= -i_{\sharp_\Pi(\gamma)} \Omega([\sharp_\Pi(\alpha), \sharp_\Pi(\beta)]) \\ &= -i_c \Omega([\sharp_\Pi(\alpha), \sharp_\Pi(\beta)]) \\ &= (\gamma - \eta(c)\eta)([\sharp_\Pi(\alpha), \sharp_\Pi(\beta)]), \end{aligned}$$

and then, using (2.6) and the relation (3.4) above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega([\sharp_\Pi(\alpha), \sharp_\Pi(\beta)], \sharp_\Pi(\gamma)) &= -\frac{1}{2}[\Pi, \Pi](\alpha, \beta, \gamma) + \Pi([\alpha, \beta]_\Pi, \gamma) \\ &\quad - \eta(c) \left(\eta \wedge \mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \eta - d_\rho \eta \right)(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we clearly have

$$\rho(\sharp_\Pi(\alpha)) \cdot \Omega(\sharp_\Pi(\beta), \sharp_\Pi(\gamma)) = \rho_\Pi(\alpha) \cdot \Pi(\beta, \gamma).$$

Using the two relations above and the identity (2.5), we get

$$d_\rho \Omega (\sharp_\Pi(\alpha), \sharp_\Pi(\beta), \sharp_\Pi(\gamma)) = \frac{1}{2} [\Pi, \Pi] (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) - \eta \wedge d_\rho \eta(a, b, c).$$

Also, observe that since $i_\xi \Omega = 0$, using the Cartan formula we have

$$d_\rho \Omega(a, b, c) = d_\rho \Omega (\sharp_\Pi(\alpha), \sharp_\Pi(\beta), \sharp_\Pi(\gamma)) + \eta \wedge \mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \Omega(a, b, c).$$

Finally, from the two identities above it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2} [\Pi, \Pi] (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(d_\rho \Omega + \eta \wedge (d_\rho \eta - \mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \Omega) \right) (a, b, c),$$

and it remains only to notice that $\xi \wedge \Pi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \eta \wedge \Omega(a, b, c)$ to get the first assertion of the proposition.

Let us now prove the second one. On one hand, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \Pi(\alpha, \beta) = \rho(\xi) \cdot \Pi(\alpha, \beta) - \Pi(\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \alpha, \beta) - \Pi(\alpha, \mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \beta). \quad (3.5)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\Pi(\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \alpha, \beta) = -\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \alpha (\sharp_\Pi(\beta)) = \rho(\xi) \cdot \Pi(\alpha, \beta) + \alpha([\xi, \sharp_\Pi(\beta)]),$$

and, since we have $\Pi(\alpha, \beta) = \Omega(a, b)$, $\sharp_\Pi(\beta) = b - \eta(b)\xi$ and $\alpha(\xi) = \eta(a)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \alpha, \beta) &= \rho(\xi) \cdot \Omega(a, b) + \alpha([\xi, b]) - \eta(a)\rho(\xi)(\eta(b)) \\ &= \rho(\xi) \cdot \Omega(a, b) + \alpha([\xi, b]) - \eta(a)\eta([\xi, b]) - \eta(a)\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \eta(b). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha = b_{\Omega, \eta}(a)$, then $\alpha([\xi, b]) - \eta(a)\eta([\xi, b]) = -i_a \Omega([\xi, b])$, and therefore

$$\Pi(\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \alpha, \beta) = \rho(\xi) \cdot \Omega(a, b) - \Omega(a, [\xi, b]) - \eta(a)\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \eta(b).$$

Interchanging α and β we also get

$$\Pi(\alpha, \mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \beta) = -\Pi(\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \beta, \alpha) = \rho(\xi) \cdot \Omega(a, b) - \Omega([\xi, a], b) + \eta(b)\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \eta(a).$$

Substituting in (3.5), we get

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \Pi(\alpha, \beta) = -\rho(\xi) \cdot \Omega(a, b) + \Omega(a, [\xi, b]) + \Omega([\xi, a], b) + \eta(a)\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \eta(b) - \eta(b)\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \eta(a)$$

which proves the second assertion of the proposition. \square

Contact Lie algebroids

Let $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a skew algebroid with the underlying vector bundle A of odd rank $2m + 1$. A contact structure on A is an A -form η of degree 1 such that the A -form $\eta \wedge (d_\rho \eta)^m$ of degree $2m + 1$ does not vanish. So, a contact structure on A is an almost cosymplectic structure (Ω, η) on A with $\Omega = d_\rho \eta$. If $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid and η is a contact structure on A we call $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], \eta)$ a contact skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid.

Let (Ω, η) be an almost cosymplectic structure on a skew algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ and let ξ be the associated Reeb section. By the relations (3.3) and the Cartan formula (2.2) we have :

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \Omega = i_\xi (d_\rho \Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \eta = i_\xi (d_\rho \eta). \quad (3.6)$$

If $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Lie algebroid and η is a contact structure on A , i.e. an almost cosymplectic structure (Ω, η) on A such that $\Omega = d_\rho \eta$, since we have $d_\rho(d_\rho \eta) = 0$ and $i_\xi \Omega = 0$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \Omega = \mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho (d_\rho \eta) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \eta = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

The following theorem says that on a Lie algebroid the contact structures are precisely the Jacobi structures (Π, ξ) such that $\xi \wedge \Pi^m$ is everywhere nonzero.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a Lie algebroid. Let (Ω, η) be an almost cosymplectic structure on A and (Π, ξ) be the associated fundamental pair. The pair (Ω, η) is a contact structure if and only if the pair (Π, ξ) is a Jacobi structure.*

Proof. Assume that $\Omega = d_\rho \eta$. That (Π, ξ) is a Jacobi structure is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.6 and the relations (3.7) above. Inversely, assume that (Π, ξ) is a Jacobi structure on A . Since $\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \Pi = 0$, by the second assertion of Proposition 3.6, it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \Omega = \eta \wedge \mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \eta. \quad (3.8)$$

By the second relation in (3.6), for any $a \in \Gamma(A)$ we have

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \eta(a) = i_\xi (d_\rho \eta)(a) = \eta \wedge i_\xi (d_\rho \eta)(\xi, a) = \eta \wedge \mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \eta(\xi, a),$$

and then, by (3.8) and the first relation in (3.6), it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \eta(a) = \mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \Omega(\xi, a) = i_\xi (d_\rho \Omega)(\xi, a) = 0.$$

Hence, $\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \eta = 0$, and by (3.8) again, it follows that $\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \Omega = 0$. Now, since we also have $[\Pi, \Pi] = 2\xi \wedge \Pi$, by the first assertion of Proposition 3.6, it follows that $d_\rho \Omega + \eta \wedge (d_\rho \eta - \Omega) = 0$, and hence, for $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$, that

$$d_\rho \Omega(\xi, a, b) + \eta \wedge (d_\rho \eta - \Omega)(\xi, a, b) = 0.$$

On the other hand, since $d_\rho \Omega(\xi, a, b) = i_\xi (d_\rho \Omega)(a, b) = \mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \Omega(a, b) = 0$ and $i_\xi (d_\rho \eta) = \mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \eta = 0$, and by the relations (3.3), we have

$$d_\rho \Omega(\xi, a, b) + \eta \wedge (d_\rho \eta - \Omega)(\xi, a, b) = (d_\rho \eta - \Omega)(a, b).$$

Therefore $(d_\rho \eta - \Omega)(a, b) = 0$. □

Remark 3.8. 1. If $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ in the theorem above is just a skew algebroid, we see from the proof that (Π, ξ) being Jacobi still implies (Ω, η) is contact.

2. With a contact Lie algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], \eta)$ we naturally associate a Lie algebroid structure on the dual bundle A^* of A as follows. Let (Π, ξ) be the Jacobi structure associated with the contact structure η . Put $b_\eta = b_{\Omega, \eta}$ and $\sharp_\eta = \sharp_{\Omega, \eta} (= b_\eta^{-1})$ with $\Omega = d_\rho \eta$. By Lemma 3.5, we have $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi} = \sharp_\eta$, so $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}$ is an isomorphism satisfying $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\eta) = \xi$, and hence, by Theorem 3.1, an isomorphism satisfying

$$\sharp_{\Pi, \xi} \left([\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi, \xi}^\eta \right) = [\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha), \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta)].$$

Therefore, the skew algebroid $(A^*, \rho_{\Pi, \xi}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\Pi, \xi}^\eta)$ is a Lie algebroid isomorphic to the Lie algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$. If we put $\rho_\eta = \rho \circ \sharp_\eta$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]_\eta = [\cdot, \cdot]_{\Pi, \xi}^\eta$, the Lie algebroid $(A^*, \rho_\eta, [\cdot, \cdot]_\eta)$ may be called the dual Lie algebroid of the contact Lie algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], \eta)$.

3.4. Locally conformally symplectic Lie algebroids

Let $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid on M . A locally conformally symplectic structure on $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a pair (Ω, θ) of a closed 1-form θ and a nondegenerate 2-form Ω on A such that

$$d_\rho \Omega + \theta \wedge \Omega = 0.$$

We also say that $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], \Omega, \theta)$ is a locally conformally symplectic skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid. In case θ is exact, i. e. $\theta = d_\rho f$ for some smooth function $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we say that $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], \Omega, \theta)$ is a conformally symplectic skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid, it is equivalent to $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], e^f \Omega)$ being a symplectic skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid.

Let Ω be a nondegenerate 2-form on A and let $\theta \in \Gamma(A^*)$. With the pair (Ω, θ) we associate a contravariant pair (Π, ξ) as follows :

$$\Pi(\alpha, \beta) = \Omega(\sharp_\Omega(\alpha), \sharp_\Omega(\beta)) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = \sharp_\Omega(\theta),$$

where \sharp_Ω is the inverse isomorphism of the vector bundle isomorphism $b_\Omega : A \rightarrow A^*$, $b_\Omega(a) = -i_a \Omega$. Clearly Π is nondegenerate and $\sharp_\Pi = \sharp_\Omega$. So we have a one-to-one correspondence between such pairs (Ω, θ) and the pairs (Π, ξ) with Π a nondegenerate A -bivector field and ξ an A -vector field. We recover the pair (Ω, θ) by the relations

$$\Omega(a, b) = \Pi(\sharp_\Pi^{-1}(a), \sharp_\Pi^{-1}(b)) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = \sharp_\Pi^{-1}(\xi).$$

We have the following :

Proposition 3.9. *Let $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a skew algebroid. Let (Ω, θ) and (Π, ξ) be two pairs as above. Let a, b, c be A -vector fields and let $\alpha = b_\Omega(a)$, $\beta = b_\Omega(b)$ and $\gamma = b_\Omega(c)$. We have*

1. $(\frac{1}{2} [\Pi, \Pi] - \xi \wedge \Pi)(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (d_\rho \Omega + \theta \wedge \Omega)(a, b, c)$.
2. $\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \Pi(\alpha, \beta) = -\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \Omega(a, b)$.

Proof. Using the identity (2.6), we get

$$\Omega([a, b], c) = \gamma([a, b]) = -\frac{1}{2} [\Pi, \Pi](\alpha, \beta, \gamma) + \Pi([\alpha, \beta]_\Pi, \gamma).$$

We also have $\rho(a) \cdot \Omega(b, c) = \rho_\Pi(\alpha) \cdot \Pi(\beta, \gamma)$. Therefore, by a direct calculation using the identity (2.5), we get

$$d_\rho \Omega(a, b, c) = \frac{1}{2} [\Pi, \Pi](\alpha, \beta, \gamma). \tag{3.9}$$

On the other hand, notice that $\theta(a) = -i_\xi \Omega(a) = i_a \Omega(\xi) = -\alpha(\xi)$, likewise $\theta(b) = -\beta(\xi)$ and $\theta(c) = -\gamma(\xi)$, thus $\theta \wedge \Omega(a, b, c) = -\xi \wedge \Pi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Hence, with (3.9), we get the first assertion of the proposition. For the second assertion, it suffices to notice that

$$\Pi(\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \alpha, \beta) = -\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \alpha(b) = -\rho(\xi)(\alpha(b)) + \alpha(\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho b) = \rho(\xi) \cdot \Omega(a, b) - \Omega(a, \mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho b).$$

□

The following theorem shows that the locally conformally symplectic structures are precisely the Jacobi structures (Π, ξ) with a nondegenerate underlying bivector field Π .

Theorem 3.10. *The pair (Ω, θ) is a locally conformally symplectic structure if and only if the pair (Π, ξ) is Jacobi.*

Proof. From the first assertion of Proposition 3.9 we deduce that the identity $d_\rho\Omega + \theta \wedge \Omega = 0$ is satisfied if and only if the identity $[\Pi, \Pi] = 2\xi \wedge \Pi$ is, and if one of the two is satisfied then, using the Cartan formula, we get

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \Omega = d_\rho(i_\xi \Omega) + i_\xi d_\rho \Omega = -d_\rho \theta - i_\xi(\theta \wedge \Omega) = -d_\rho \theta,$$

and then, with the assertion 2. of Proposition 3.9, that $\mathcal{L}_\xi^\rho \Pi = 0$ if and only if $d_\rho \theta = 0$. □

Remark 3.11. Let $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], \Omega, \theta)$ be a locally conformally symplectic skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid and let (Π, ξ) be the associated Jacobi structure. Since we have $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\theta) = \sharp_\Pi(\theta) + \theta(\xi)\xi = \sharp_\Pi(\theta) = \xi$, by Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}([\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi, \xi}^\theta) = [\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha), \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta)].$$

On the other hand, the vector bundle morphism $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}$ is an isomorphism. Indeed, since we have $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha) = \sharp_\Pi(\alpha + \alpha(\xi)\xi)$ and since the bivector field Π is nondegenerate, then $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha) = 0$ implies $\alpha = -\alpha(\xi)\theta$, thus $\alpha(\xi) = -\alpha(\xi)\theta(\xi) = 0$, and therefore $\alpha = 0$. Hence, just as in the contact situation, Remark 3.8(2), the skew algebroid $(A^*, \rho_{\Pi, \xi}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\Pi, \xi}^\theta)$ is a skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid isomorphic to the skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$. If we put $\rho_{\Omega, \theta} = \rho_{\Pi, \xi}$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\Omega, \theta} = [\cdot, \cdot]_{\Pi, \xi}^\theta$, the skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid $(A^*, \rho_{\Omega, \theta}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\Omega, \theta}^\theta)$ may be called the dual skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid of the locally conformally symplectic skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], \Omega, \theta)$.

4. Compatibility of a Jacobi structure and a Riemannian metric

4.1. Compatibility of the triple (Π, ξ, g)

Let g be a pseudo-Riemannian metric on a skew algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$. Let Π be an A -bivector field and ξ be an A -vector field. With the triple (Π, ξ, g) we associate the A -1-form λ defined by

$$\lambda := g(\xi, \xi) \flat_g(\xi) - \flat_g(J\xi),$$

and we use the notation $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\Pi, \xi}^g$ instead of $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\Pi, \xi}^\lambda$. The Levi-Civita contravariant A -connection associated with the triple (Π, ξ, g) is the Levi-Civita connection \mathcal{D} of the pseudo-Riemannian skew algebroid $(A^*, \rho_{\Pi, \xi}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\Pi, \xi}^g)$. When $\xi = 0$, it is just the Levi-Civita contravariant connection associated with the pair (Π, g) in § 2.3

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that the vector bundle morphism $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}$ is a skew algebroid morphism, i.e. we have*

$$\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}([\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi, \xi}^g) = [\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha), \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta)].$$

Assume also that $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}$ is an isometry. Then

$$\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha \beta) = \nabla_{\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha)}(\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta)),$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], g)$.

Proof. Since $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}$ is an isometry we have $g(\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha \beta), \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\gamma)) = g^*(\mathcal{D}_\alpha \beta, \gamma)$. Now, using the Koszul formula (2.8) for the Levi-Civita connections \mathcal{D} and ∇ , it follows that

$$g(\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha \beta), \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\gamma)) = g(\nabla_{\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha)}(\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta)), \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\gamma)),$$

for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma(A^*)$. □

We say that the metric g is compatible with the pair (Π, ξ) or that the triple (Π, ξ, g) is compatible if

$$\mathcal{D}\Pi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma(\xi)\Pi(\alpha, \beta) - \beta(\xi)\Pi(\alpha, \gamma) - J^*\gamma(\xi)g^*(\alpha, \beta) + J^*\beta(\xi)g^*(\alpha, \gamma)), \quad (4.1)$$

for every $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma(A^*)$. The formula (4.1) can also be written in the form

$$(\mathcal{D}_\alpha J^*)\beta = \frac{1}{2}(\Pi(\alpha, \beta)\flat_g(\xi) - \beta(\xi)J^*\alpha - g^*(\alpha, \beta)J^*\flat_g(\xi) + J^*\beta(\xi)\alpha), \quad (4.2)$$

for every $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$.

The compatibility in the case ξ is the zero A -vector field means that $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], \Pi, g)$ is a pseudo-Riemannian Poisson skew algebroid, and Riemannian Poisson if moreover the metric g is positive definite.

The following result generalizes the proposition 2.6.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], g)$ be a pseudo-Riemannian skew algebroid, Π an A -bivector field and ξ an A -vector field. Assume that $\mathcal{L}_\xi^p \Pi = 0$ and (Π, ξ, g) is compatible. Then, (Π, ξ) is a Jacobi structure if and only if $(\xi - \sharp_{\Pi}(\lambda)) \wedge \Pi = 0$.*

Proof. Taking the cyclic sum on the two sides of the equality (4.1) we get

$$\oint \mathcal{D}\Pi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \xi \wedge \Pi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma). \quad (4.3)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}\Pi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= \rho_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha) \cdot \Pi(\beta, \gamma) - \Pi(\mathcal{D}_\alpha \beta, \gamma) - \Pi(\beta, \mathcal{D}_\alpha \gamma) \\ &= \rho_{\Pi}(\alpha) \cdot \Pi(\beta, \gamma) - \Pi(\mathcal{D}_\alpha \beta, \gamma) + \Pi(\mathcal{D}_\alpha \gamma, \beta) + \alpha(\xi)(\rho(\xi) \cdot \Pi(\beta, \gamma)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the connection \mathcal{D} being torsion free,

$$\oint \mathcal{D}\Pi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \oint (\rho_{\Pi}(\alpha) \cdot \Pi(\beta, \gamma) - \Pi([\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi, \xi}^\lambda, \gamma) + \alpha(\xi)(\rho(\xi) \cdot \Pi(\beta, \gamma))).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi([\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi, \xi}^\lambda, \gamma) &= \Pi([\alpha, \beta]_{\Pi}, \gamma) + \alpha(\xi)\Pi(\mathcal{L}_\xi^p \beta, \gamma) + \beta(\xi)\Pi(\gamma, \mathcal{L}_\xi^p \alpha) \\ &\quad - \alpha(\xi)\Pi(\beta, \gamma) - \beta(\xi)\Pi(\gamma, \alpha) - \Pi(\alpha, \beta)\Pi(\lambda, \gamma), \end{aligned}$$

it follows using (2.5) that

$$\oint \mathcal{D}\Pi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (2\xi \wedge \Pi - [\Pi, \Pi])(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) + (\sharp_{\Pi}(\lambda) \wedge \Pi)(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) + \oint \alpha(\xi)\mathcal{L}_\xi^p \Pi(\beta, \gamma),$$

and, by (4.3) and since $\mathcal{L}_\xi^p \Pi = 0$, that $2\xi \wedge \Pi - [\Pi, \Pi] = (\xi - \sharp_{\Pi}(\lambda)) \wedge \Pi$. □

4.2. (1/2)-Kenmotsu Lie algebroids

For the notions of almost contact pseudo-Riemannian structures, contact pseudo-Riemannian structures and Kenmotsu structures on a smooth manifold, see [2]. For the definition of these same structures on a Lie algebroid, see [8].

Almost contact and contact Riemannian Lie algebroids

Let $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a skew algebroid. Let (Φ, ξ, η) be a triple consisting of an A -1-form η , an A -vector field ξ and an A -(1,1)-tensor field Φ . The triple (Φ, ξ, η) is an almost contact structure on A if $\Phi^2 = -\text{Id}_A + \eta \otimes \xi$ and $\eta(\xi) = 1$. From what it follows, in a similar manner as in the case of almost contact manifolds [2, Th.4.1], that $\Phi(\xi) = 0$ and $\eta \circ \Phi = 0$.

We say that a metric g is associated with the triple (Φ, ξ, η) if the following identity is verified

$$g(\Phi(a), \Phi(b)) = g(a, b) - \eta(a)\eta(b). \quad (4.4)$$

Let $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid. We say that $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], \Phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an almost contact (pseudo-)Riemannian skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid if the triple (Φ, ξ, η) is an almost contact structure on A and g is an associated (pseudo-)Riemannian metric.

Let $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], \Phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an almost contact pseudo-Riemannian skew algebroid. Notice that if we set $b = \xi$ in (4.4), we deduce that

$$g(a, \xi) = \eta(a),$$

for any $a \in \Gamma(A)$, i.e., $\flat_g(\xi) = \eta$, and in particular that $g(\xi, \xi) = 1$. Also, using (4.4), $\Phi^2 = -\text{Id}_A + \eta \otimes \xi$ and $\eta \circ \Phi = 0$, we get that $g(\Phi(a), b) = -g(a, \Phi(b))$, for any $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$. So, the map $\Pi : \Gamma(A^*) \times \Gamma(A^*) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ defined by

$$\Pi(\alpha, \beta) = g(\sharp_g(\alpha), \Phi(\sharp_g(\beta)))$$

is a bivector field on A . Let us call it the fundamental bivector field of the almost contact pseudo-Riemannian skew algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], \Phi, \xi, \eta, g)$. We have the following result

Proposition 4.3. *The vector bundle morphism $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}$ is an isometry. Therefore, if in addition $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}$ is a skew algebroid morphism, then*

$$\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha \beta) = \nabla_{\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha)}(\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta)),$$

for every $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$.

Proof. Let us prove that $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}$ is an isometry. Let $\alpha \in \Gamma(A^*)$. Recall that by definition, we have $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha) = \sharp_{\Pi}(\alpha) + \alpha(\xi)\xi$. Since we have on one hand $\alpha(\xi) = g(\sharp_g(\alpha), \xi) = \eta(\sharp_g(\alpha))$ and on the other hand, for any $\beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$,

$$\beta(\sharp_{\Pi}(\alpha)) = g(\sharp_g(\alpha), \Phi(\sharp_g(\beta))) = -g(\Phi(\sharp_g(\alpha)), \sharp_g(\beta)) = -\beta(\Phi(\sharp_g(\alpha))),$$

i.e. $\sharp_{\Pi}(\alpha) = -\Phi(\sharp_g(\alpha))$, we deduce that

$$\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha) = -\Phi(\sharp_g(\alpha)) + \eta(\sharp_g(\alpha))\xi. \quad (4.5)$$

Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$. From the formula (4.5) and the fact that $g(\Phi(a), \xi) = \eta \circ \Phi(a) = 0$ and $g(\xi, \xi) = 1$, we deduce that

$$g(\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha), \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta)) = g(\Phi(\sharp_g(\alpha)), \Phi(\sharp_g(\beta))) + \eta(\sharp_g(\alpha))\eta(\sharp_g(\beta)).$$

By using the formula (4.4), we get

$$g(\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha), \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta)) = g(\sharp_g(\alpha), \sharp_g(\beta)) = g^*(\alpha, \beta).$$

The second claim is a direct consequence of Proposition 4.1. □

Let $(A, \rho, [., .])$ be a skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid. Assume that η is a contact form on $(A, \rho, [., .])$. We say that $(A, \rho, [., .], \eta, g)$ is a contact pseudo-Riemannian skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid, or that the metric g is associated with the contact form η , if there exists a vector bundle endomorphism Φ of A such that (Φ, ξ, η, g) is an almost contact pseudo-Riemannian structure on A and that

$$g(a, \Phi(b)) = d_\rho \eta(a, b). \tag{4.6}$$

If in addition g is positive definite, we say that $(A, \rho, [., .], \eta, g)$ is a contact Riemannian skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid.

Theorem 4.4. *Assume that $(A, \rho, [., .], \eta, g)$ is a contact pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebroid. We have*

$$\sharp_\eta(\mathcal{D}_\alpha \beta) = \nabla_{\sharp_\eta(\alpha)}(\sharp_\eta(\beta)),$$

for every $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$.

Proof. Let (Π, ξ) be the Jacobi structure associated with the contact form η , then $\sharp_\eta = \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}$. Let (Φ, ξ, η, g) be the almost contact pseudo-Riemannian structure associated with the contact pseudo-Riemannian structure (η, g) on A . By Remark 3.8(2) and the proposition above, we need only to prove that Π is the fundamental bivector field associated with (Φ, ξ, η, g) and that $\eta = \lambda$. Let $\alpha \in \Gamma(A^*)$ and put $a = \sharp_\eta(\alpha)$. By using (4.6), we have

$$\sharp_g(\alpha) = \sharp_g(\flat_\eta(a)) = -\sharp_g(i_a d\eta) + \eta(a)\xi = \Phi(a) + \eta(a)\xi.$$

Therefore, applying Φ ,

$$\Phi(\sharp_g(\alpha)) = \Phi^2(a) = -a + \eta(a)\xi = -\sharp_\eta(\alpha) + \alpha(\xi)\xi = -\sharp_\Pi(\alpha).$$

We deduce that $\Pi(\alpha, \beta) = g(\sharp_g(\alpha), \Phi(\sharp_g(\beta)))$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$, and hence, that $\Phi = -J$. Therefore, $J\xi = 0$, and since $g(\xi, \xi) = 1$ it follows that $\lambda = \flat_g(\xi) = \eta$. □

(1/2)-Kenmotsu Lie algebroids

Let $(A, \rho, [., .])$ be a skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid. An almost contact Riemannian structure (Φ, ξ, η, g) on A is said to be (1/2)-Kenmotsu if we have

$$(\nabla_a \Phi)(b) = \frac{1}{2}(g(\Phi(a), b)\xi - \eta(b)\Phi(a)),$$

for any $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$. We say also that $(A, \rho, [., .], \Phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is a (1/2)-Kenmotsu skew (resp. almost Lie, Lie) algebroid.

Lemma 4.5. Let $(A, \rho, [., .])$ be a skew algebroid. Assume that (Φ, ξ, η, g) is an almost contact pseudo-Riemannian structure on A and let Π be the associated fundamental bivector field. If the fiber bundle morphism $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}$ is a skew algebroid morphism, then

$$\sharp_{\Pi, \xi} ((\mathcal{D}_\alpha J^*)\beta) = -(\nabla_{\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha)}\Phi)(\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta)),$$

for every $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$.

Proof. By using the formula (4.5) and the fact that we have $\sharp_g \circ J^* = J \circ \sharp_g$, we deduce that $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(J^*\alpha) = -\Phi(\sharp_g(J^*\alpha)) + \eta(\sharp_g(J^*\alpha))\xi = -\Phi(\sharp_\Pi(\alpha)) = -\Phi(\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha))$. Therefore

$$\sharp_{\Pi, \xi} \circ J^* = -\Phi \circ \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}. \quad (4.7)$$

Hence, with Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sharp_{\Pi, \xi} ((\mathcal{D}_\alpha J^*)\beta) &= \sharp_{\Pi, \xi} (\mathcal{D}_\alpha (J^*\beta)) - (\sharp_{\Pi, \xi} \circ J^*) (\mathcal{D}_\alpha \beta), \\ &= \nabla_{\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha)} (\sharp_{\Pi, \xi} (J^*\beta)) + \Phi (\sharp_{\Pi, \xi} (\mathcal{D}_\alpha \beta)), \\ &= -\nabla_{\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha)} (\Phi (\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta))) + \Phi (\nabla_{\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha)} \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta)), \\ &= -(\nabla_{\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha)}\Phi)(\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.6. Under the same hypotheses of the above lemma, the compatibility of the triple (Π, ξ, g) is equivalent to

$$(\nabla_a \Phi)(b) = \frac{1}{2} (g(\Phi(a), b)\xi - \eta(b)\Phi(a)),$$

for any $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$, and if moreover the metric g is positive definite, then the triple (Π, ξ, g) is compatible if and only if the almost contact Riemannian skew algebroid $(A, \rho, [., .], \Phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is (1/2)-Kenmotsu.

Proof. Since we have $J^*\flat_g(\xi) = \flat_g(J\xi) = -\flat_g(\Phi\xi) = 0$ and

$$J^*\beta(\xi) = J^*\beta(\sharp_g(\eta)) = \eta(\sharp_g(J^*\beta)) = \eta(J\sharp_g(\beta)) = -\eta(\Phi(\sharp_g(\beta))) = 0,$$

then the formula (4.2) becomes

$$(\mathcal{D}_\alpha J^*)\beta = \frac{1}{2} (\Pi(\alpha, \beta)\eta - \beta(\xi)J^*\alpha).$$

Applying $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}$ which by Proposition 4.3 is an isometry and hence an isomorphism, this last formula is equivalent to

$$\sharp_{\Pi, \xi} ((\mathcal{D}_\alpha J^*)\beta) = \frac{1}{2} (\Pi(\alpha, \beta)\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\eta) - \beta(\xi)\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(J^*\alpha)).$$

Now, by Formula (4.5), we have $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\eta) = \xi$, and if we put $a = \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha)$ and $b = \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta)$, then we have $\beta(\xi) = \eta(b)$, also using (4.7), we have $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(J^*\alpha) = -\Phi(a)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(\alpha, \beta) &= g(\sharp_g(\alpha), \Phi(\sharp_g(\beta))) \\ &= -g(\sharp_g(\alpha), \sharp_g(J^*\beta)) \\ &= -g^*(\alpha, J^*\beta) \\ &= g(a, \Phi(b)). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to use the lemma above.

□

Theorem 4.7. Assume that $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], \eta, g)$ is a contact Riemannian Lie algebroid and let (Φ, ξ, η, g) be the associated almost contact Riemannian structure. Assume that (Π, ξ) is the Jacobi structure associated with the contact form η . Then the triple (Π, ξ, g) is compatible if and only if $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot], \Phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is $(1/2)$ -Kenmotsu.

Proof. We have proved, see the proof of Theorem 4.4, that Π is the bivector field of the proposition above and that $\lambda = \eta$. □

4.3. Locally conformally Kähler Lie algebroids

For the notions of almost Hermitian, Hermitian, Kähler Lie algebroids see [7]. In this paragraph $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a skew algebroid.

Riemannian metric associated with a locally conformally symplectic structure

Assume that $\Omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A^*)$ is a nondegenerate 2-form and let $\theta \in \Gamma(A^*)$. Assume that the pair (Π, ξ) is associated with the pair (Ω, θ) . We say that a pseudo-Riemannian metric g is associated with the pair (Ω, θ) if $\sharp_{\Omega, \theta} := \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}$ is an isometry, i.e. if

$$g(\sharp_{\Omega, \theta}(\alpha), \sharp_{\Omega, \theta}(\beta)) = g^*(\alpha, \beta), \tag{4.8}$$

for every $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$.

If $\theta = 0$, then $\xi = 0$ and $\sharp_{\Omega, \theta} = \sharp_{\Omega}$, and if J and J^* are the fields of endomorphisms defined by the formulae (2.9), then

$$\begin{aligned} g(\sharp_{\Omega, \theta}(\alpha), \sharp_{\Omega, \theta}(\beta)) &= g(\sharp_{\Omega}(\alpha), \sharp_{\Omega}(\beta)) \\ &= g^*(\flat_g(\sharp_{\Omega}(\alpha)), \flat_g(\sharp_{\Omega}(\beta))) \\ &= g^*(J^*\alpha, J^*\beta), \end{aligned}$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$. Hence, in the case $\theta = 0$, the relation (4.8) is equivalent to

$$g^*(J^*\alpha, J^*\beta) = g^*(\alpha, \beta).$$

If moreover g is positive definite, this last identity means that the pair (Ω, g) is an almost Hermitian structure on A and that J is the associated almost complex structure, i.e., we have

$$g(Ja, Jb) = g(a, b) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega(a, b) = g(Ja, b),$$

for every $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$.

Theorem 4.8. Assume that (Ω, θ) is a locally conformally symplectic structure on A and that g is an associated metric. We have

$$\sharp_{\Omega, \theta}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha \beta) = \nabla_{\sharp_{\Omega, \theta}(\alpha)}(\sharp_{\Omega, \theta}(\beta)),$$

for every $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.1 and Remark 3.11, we need only to prove that $\lambda = \theta$. On one hand, we have $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\theta) = \xi$. On the other hand, for any $\alpha \in \Gamma(A^*)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\lambda), \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha)) &= g(\sharp_g(\lambda), \sharp_g(\alpha)) \\ &= g(\xi, \xi)\alpha(\xi) + g(\xi, J\sharp_g(\alpha)) \\ &= g(\xi, \xi)\alpha(\xi) + g(\xi, \sharp_{\Pi}(\alpha)) \\ &= g(\xi, \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}$ is an isometry, hence an isomorphism, then $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\lambda) = \xi$. □

Corollary 4.9. *Under the same hypotheses of the theorem above, we have*

$$\mathcal{D}\Pi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \nabla\Omega(\sharp_{\Omega, \theta}(\alpha), \sharp_{\Omega, \theta}(\beta), \sharp_{\Omega, \theta}(\gamma)).$$

Proof. We have $\Omega(\xi, \sharp_{\Pi}(\alpha)) = -i_{\sharp_{\Pi}(\alpha)}\Omega(\xi) = \alpha(\xi)$ and likewise $\Omega(\xi, \sharp_{\Pi}(\beta)) = \beta(\xi)$. Consequently

$$\Omega(\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha), \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta)) = \Pi(\alpha, \beta). \tag{4.9}$$

It suffices now to compute $\nabla\Omega(\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha), \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta), \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\gamma))$ and use the theorem above. □

Locally conformally Kähler Lie algebroids

Recall, see [7], that if Ω is a nondegenerate 2-form on A and g an associated Riemannian metric, the almost Hermitian structure (Ω, g) is Hermitian if the associated almost complex structure is integrable, and Kähler if moreover Ω is closed. Recall also that if (Ω, g) is almost Hermitian, then it is Kähler if and only if the 2-form Ω is parallel for the Levi-Civita connection of g .

If (Ω, θ) is a locally conformally symplectic structure and (Ω, g) a Hermitian structure, we say that the triple (Ω, θ, g) is a locally conformally Kähler structure.

We shall prove that if (Ω, θ) is a locally conformally symplectic structure on A and that (Π, ξ) is the associated Jacobi structure, if g is a Riemannian metric associated with Ω and with (Ω, θ) , the compatibility of the triple (Π, ξ, g) induces a locally conformally Kähler structure on A .

Lemma 4.10. *Assume that $\Omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$ is a nondegenerate 2-form on A and let $\theta \in \Gamma(A^*)$. Assume that (Π, ξ) is the pair associated with (Ω, θ) . If the pseudo-Riemannian metric g is associated with the 2-form Ω and with the pair (Ω, θ) , then we have*

$$J \circ \sharp_{\Pi, \xi} = \sharp_{\Pi, \xi} \circ J^*.$$

Proof. Since the metric g is assumed to be associated with Ω and using (4.9), we get

$$g(J\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha), \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta)) = \Omega(\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha), \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta)) = \Pi(\alpha, \beta) = g^*(J^*\alpha, \beta),$$

and since g is also assumed to be associated with the pair (Ω, θ) , i.e. $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}$ is an isometry, then

$$g(J\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha), \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta)) = g(\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(J^*\alpha), \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta)).$$

Finally, since $\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}$ is an isometry, hence an isomorphism, the result follows. □

Theorem 4.11. Assume that $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is an almost Lie algebroid. Let $(\Omega, d_\rho f)$ be a conformally symplectic structure on A and let (Π, ξ) be the associated Jacobi structure. If g is a Riemannian metric associated with Ω and with $(\Omega, d_\rho f)$, then the triple (Π, ξ, g) is compatible if and only if the triple $(\Omega, d_\rho f, g)$ is a conformally Kähler structure.

Proof. We need to prove that the triple (Π, ξ, g) is compatible if and only if the pair $(e^f \Omega, e^f g)$ is compatible, i.e., if and only if the A -2-form $e^f \Omega$ is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇^f associated with the metric $g^f = e^f g$. As the connections ∇ and ∇^f are related by the formula

$$\nabla_a^f b = \nabla_a b + \frac{1}{2} (d_\rho f(a)b + d_\rho f(b)a - g(a, b)\sharp_g(d_\rho f)),$$

we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^f \Omega(a, b, c) &= \nabla \Omega(a, b, c) - d_\rho f(a)\Omega(b, c) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} d_\rho f(b)\Omega(a, c) + \frac{1}{2} d_\rho f(c)\Omega(a, b) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (g(a, b)\Omega(\sharp_g(d_\rho f), c) - g(a, c)\Omega(\sharp_g(d_\rho f), b)), \end{aligned}$$

and then that

$$\nabla^f(e^f \Omega)(a, b, c) = e^f (d_\rho f(a)\Omega(b, c) + \nabla^f \Omega(a, b, c)) = e^f \Lambda_f(a, b, c),$$

where we have set

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_f(a, b, c) &= \nabla \Omega(a, b, c) - \frac{1}{2} (d_\rho f(b)\Omega(a, c) - d_\rho f(c)\Omega(a, b)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (g(a, b)\Omega(\sharp_g(d_\rho f), c) - g(a, c)\Omega(\sharp_g(d_\rho f), b)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\nabla^f(e^f \Omega) = 0$ if and only if $\Lambda_f = 0$, hence that the pair $(e^f \Omega, e^f g)$ is compatible if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \Omega(a, b, c) &= \frac{1}{2} (d_\rho f(b)\Omega(a, c) - d_\rho f(c)\Omega(a, b) - g(a, b)\Omega(\sharp_g(d_\rho f), c) \\ &\quad + g(a, c)\Omega(\sharp_g(d_\rho f), b)). \end{aligned}$$

Let us prove now that this last identity is equivalent to the formula (4.1). Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma(A^*)$ be such that $a = \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\alpha)$, $b = \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta)$ and $c = \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\gamma)$. By Corollary 4.9, we have $\nabla \Omega(a, b, c) = \mathcal{D}\Pi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. On the other hand, setting $\theta = d_\rho f$, we have $d_\rho f(b) = \theta(b) = \theta(\sharp_\Pi(\beta)) + \beta(\xi)\theta(\xi) = -\beta(\sharp_\Pi(\theta)) = -\beta(\xi)$ and likewise $d_\rho f(c) = -\gamma(\xi)$. Also, by (4.9), we have $\Omega(a, b) = \Pi(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\Omega(a, c) = \Pi(\alpha, \gamma)$. Finally, since the metric g is associated with Ω , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(\sharp_g(d_\rho f), b) &= -\Omega(b, \sharp_g(\theta)) \\ &= -g(Jb, \sharp_g(\theta)) \\ &= -\theta(Jb) \\ &= \Omega(\xi, Jb) \\ &= \Omega(\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\theta), J\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\beta)), \end{aligned}$$

and since g is associated with Ω and with (Ω, θ) , by using the lemma above and (4.9), we get

$$\Omega(\sharp_g(d_\rho f), b) = \Omega(\sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(\theta), \sharp_{\Pi, \xi}(J^* \beta)) = \Pi(\theta, J^* \beta) = J^* \beta(\sharp_\Pi(\theta)) = J^* \beta(\xi)$$

and likewise $\Omega(\sharp_g(d_\rho f), c) = J^* \gamma(\xi)$. □

References

- [1] Y. Aït Amrane, A. Zeglaoui, *Compatibility of Riemannian structures and Jacobi structures*. Journal of Geometry and Physics 133 (2018), 71-80.
- [2] D. E. Blair, *Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds*. Progress in mathematics, vol. 203, 2nd Edition, Birkhäuser, 2010.
- [3] M. Boucetta, *Compatibilité des structures pseudo-riemanniennes et des structures de Poisson*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 333 (2001), 763–768.
- [4] M. Boucetta, *Poisson manifolds with compatible pseudo-metric and pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebras*. Differential Geom. Appl. 20 (2004), 279–291.
- [5] M. Boucetta, *Riemannian geometry of Lie algebroids*. Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society, Vol. 19, Iss. 12 (2011), 57–70.
- [6] A. Cannas da Silva, A. Weinstein, *Geometric models for noncommutative algebras*. Berkeley Mathematics Lecture Notes 10, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [7] C. Ida, P. Popescu, *On almost complex Lie algebroids*. Mediterr. J. Math. 13 (2016), 803–824.
- [8] C. Ida, P. Popescu, *Contact structures on Lie algebroids*. Publicationes Mathematicae 91(1-2)(2017). DOI:10.5486/PMD.2017.7444
- [9] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, *Poisson manifolds, Lie algebroids, modular classes : a survey*. SIGMA 4 (2008), 005.
- [10] A. Lichnerowicz, *Les variétés de Jacobi et leurs algèbres de Lie associées*. J. Math. pures et appl. 51 (1978), 453–488.
- [11] C.-M. Marle, *Calculus on Lie algebroids, Lie groupoids and Poisson manifolds*. Dissertationes Mathematicae, Vol. 457, Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, 2008.
- [12] I. Vaisman, *Lectures on the geometry of Poisson manifolds* Progress in Mathematics, vol. 118, Birkhäuser, 1994.