Weak Formulation of the Laplacian on the Full Shift Space

Formulation faible du laplacien sur l'espace Shift complet

Shrihari Sridharan and Sharvari Neetin Tikekar

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Thiruvananthapuram (IISER-TVM), INDIA shrihari@iisertvm.ac.in, sharvai.tikekar14@iisertvm.ac.in

ABSTRACT. We consider a Laplacian on the one-sided full shift space over a finite symbol set, which is constructed as a renormalized limit of finite difference operators. We propose a weak definition of this Laplacian, analogous to the one in calculus, by choosing test functions as those which have finite energy and vanish on various boundary sets. In the abstract setting of the shift space, the boundary sets are chosen to be the sets on which the finite difference operators are defined. We then define the Neumann derivative of functions on these boundary sets and establish a relation between three important concepts in analysis so far, namely, the Laplacian, the bilinear energy form and the Neumann derivative of a function. As a result, we obtain the Gauss-Green's formula analogous to the one in classical case. We conclude this paper by providing a sufficient condition for the Neumann boundary value problem on the shift space. **AMS Subject Classifications.** 28A15, 37B10, 31E05. **KEYWORDS.** One-sided full shift space, Weak formulation of the Laplacian, Energy form, Neumann derivative.

1. Introduction

One of the main aspects of analysis is the theory of calculus. Until recently, calculus intrinsically necessitated the underlying space to be smooth. During 1970's, it was observed that nature is abound with non-smooth objects like fractals, the term which was coined by Mandelbrot [23, 24]. The need for studying various physical phenomena like heat and wave propagation on such sets gave birth to the theory of calculus on these rough surfaces, popularly known as *rough analysis*. There are two main approaches towards constructing a Laplacian on fractals like the Sierpiński gasket. The probabilistic approach [11, 20, 4] derives a Laplacian using a diffusion process, whereas, the direct approach [14, 15] proposed by Kigami, constructs a Laplacian on a class of self-similar fractals that includes the Sierpiński gasket, as a limit of renormalized difference operators. A great deal of literature is built around the study of analysis on different types of connected fractal sets over the last few decades, [2, 8, 10, 21, 27, 28]. At the same time, it was observed that fractals which are totally disconnected, for example Cantor sets embedded in \mathbb{R}^n , can be helpful to model characteristic attributes of certain physical systems [3, 19], and study of diffusion processes on Cantor sets has been actively pursued since then [1, 12, 22].

One way to describe self-similar fractals is by means of symbolic coding. For a finite symbol set $S := \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ with $N \ge 2$, a one-sided full shift space (Σ_N^+, σ) is a space of sequences over symbol set S given by,

$$\Sigma_N^+ := \{ x = (x_1 \, x_2 \, \cdots) : x_i \in S, \, \forall i \ge 1 \},\$$

along with the shift map $\sigma : \Sigma_N^+ \longrightarrow \Sigma_N^+$, defined as, $\sigma((x_1 x_2 \cdots)) = (x_2 x_3 \cdots)$. The discrete topology on S induces a product topology on Σ_N^+ , under which it is a compact, totally disconnected and perfect metrizable space. Detailed description of the shift space, its dynamical properties and its numerous applications in different fields can be found in [5, 6, 25]. Such a distinctive topology makes it

interesting to study the analysis on the shift space. Denker *et al.* [7] generalized the notion of Dirichlet forms on quotient spaces of the abstract shift space and obtained a corresponding Laplacian on them.

It is an interesting feature of shift spaces, that we can bring all Cantor sets under one umbrella by designing a *coding* which is a homeomorphism from a shift space over an appropriate symbol set to a Cantor set under consideration. The coding helps in getting rid of the Euclidean structure of a Cantor set, however, preserves the essential self-similar structure. Therefore the study of the shift spaces from an analytical viewpoint will improve our understanding of Cantor sets, independent of their inherent Euclidean structure. In [26], we followed Kigami's approach to construct a Laplacian Δ on the full shift space as a renormalized limit of difference operators H_m on certain finite subsets V_m of Σ_N^+ . In sections (2) and (3), we summarise these concepts derived in [26]. In section (3), we further develop some important properties of the finite Dirichlet forms which are induced by the difference operators.

The main element involved in the analytical construction of the Laplacian on self-similar sets is the *energy* \mathcal{E} (resistance or Dirichlet form). It is a symmetric bilinear non-negative definite form obtained as the limit of the finite Dirichlet forms. Energy gives rise to an intrinsic effective resistance metric on the underlying fractal set. This metric determines the topology to develop such theory of analysis on a fractal set, independent of its Euclidean embedding. It so happens that, in case of post-critically finite (p.c.f.) self-similar sets, the effective resistance and the Euclidean metric are compatible. Interested readers may refer to [16, 18] for a detailed study of the topic. However, we proved in [26], that in case of the shift space, the resistance metric does not yield the complete framework of Σ_N^+ to develop the analysis. Therefore all the analysis to be carried out further is in the framework of the standard topology on Σ_N^+ , independent of the effective resistance metric.

As the difference operators H_m induce finite Dirichlet forms \mathcal{E}_{H_m} on the finite sets V_m , it is then natural to explore the relation between the Laplacian Δ and the energy form \mathcal{E} . In case of the p.c.f. selfsimilar sets, if u and f are continuous functions with u having finite energy, then $\Delta u = f$ if $\mathcal{E}(u, v) =$ $-\int f v d\mu$ for all continuous functions v having finite energy and vanishing on the boundary and μ being an appropriate self-similar probability measure. Such a formulation of the Laplacian is termed as *weak* formulation, due to its clear resemblance with the weak definition of the classical Laplacian. In this paper, we attempt to address this problem in the abstract setting of the shift space. Towards that end, in section (4), we first conceptualize the idea of a boundary in a totally disconnected space Σ_N^+ and propose an analogous weak formulation of the Laplacian taking the various boundary sets into consideration. We restrict the study of the Laplacian to a smaller domain \mathcal{D} , than the one considered in [26]. This new domain of the Laplacian is split into subdomains corresponding to various boundary sets. We prove that on each of these subdomains, the weak formulation of the Laplacian agrees with its strong definition. Furthermore, we provide a complete characterization of the harmonic functions in section (4).

The last two sections in this paper focus on solving an analogous Neumann boundary value problem for the Laplacian on Σ_N^+ . In section (5), we give a definition of the Neumann derivative of the functions at the boundary points. We establish that the Neumann derivative exists for all the functions in the domain of the Laplacian, \mathcal{D} . We further obtain the Gauss-Green's formula relating the Laplacian and the Neumann derivative of a function in \mathcal{D} . For a given function $f \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_N^+)$, we provide a sufficient condition for the existence of a solution to the equation

 $\Delta u = f,$

under Neumann boundary conditions, in section (6).

2. Laplacian on Σ_N^+

Let us begin by summarizing the basic concepts of the Laplacian in the setting of the symbolic space Σ_N^+ developed in [26]. Recall the definition of the one-sided full shift space (Σ_N^+, σ) from the previous section. The distance d between any two points x, y in the shift space depends only on the first position where the two sequences disagree, as given below.

$$d(x,y) := \frac{1}{2^{\rho(x,y)}}, \text{ where } \rho(x,y) := \min\{i : x_i \neq y_i\} \text{ with } \rho(x,x) := \infty.$$

The cylinder sets of any length $m\geq 1$ in Σ_N^+ are defined by

$$[p_1 \cdots p_m] := \{ x \in \Sigma_N^+ : x_1 = p_1, \cdots, x_m = p_m \},\$$

where the initial m co-ordinates are fixed. The equidistributed Bernoulli measure μ on \sum_{N}^{+} is given by, $\mu([p_1 \cdots p_m]) = \frac{1}{N^m}$. The inverse of the shift map σ has N branches given by $\sigma_l : \sum_{N}^{+} \longrightarrow [l]$, for each $l \in S$. These inverse branches give rise to a self-similar structure on the shift space. Consider the set of fixed points of σ , namely $V_0 = \left\{ (1), (2), \cdots, (N) \right\}$, where for any $l \in S$, by (l) we mean the constant sequence $(l \ l \cdots) \in \sum_{N}^{+}$. Further, for each $m \ge 1$, the m-th order pre-image of V_0 is given inductively by $V_m := \bigcup_{l \in S} \sigma_l(V_{m-1})$. Any point p in V_m is of the form $p = (p_1 \cdots p_m p_{m+1} p_{m+1} \cdots)$ and for simplicity, it is denoted by $(p_1 \cdots p_m \dot{p}_{m+1})$. In particular, for a point $p \in V_m \setminus V_{m-1}$, we have $p_m \neq p_{m+1}$. $\{V_m\}_{m\ge 0}$ forms an increasing sequence of subsets of Σ_N^+ . The set $V_* := \bigcup_{m\ge 0} V_m$ is dense in the space Σ_N^+ , *i.e.*, for any $x = (x_1 x_2 \cdots) \in \Sigma_N^+$, the sequence of points $(\dot{x}_1) \in V_0$; $(x_1 \dot{x}_2) \in V_1$; \cdots ; $(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_m \dot{x}_{m+1}) \in V_m$ and so on, converges to x. The set V_m is a finite set of cardinality N^{m+1} . On each V_m , an equivalence relation \sim_m is defined as follows. The points $p, q \in V_m$ are m-related, denoted by $p \sim_m q$, if and only if $p_i = q_i$ for all $1 \le i \le m$. q is also called as m-neighbour of p in V_m . For any point $p \in V_m$, its deleted neighbourhood in V_m is the set $\mathcal{U}_p_m = \{q \in V_m \mid q \sim_m p, q \neq p\}$ consisting of its N - 1 points, which are its m-neighbours in V_m .

For each $m \ge 0$, let $\ell(V_m) := \{u \mid u : V_m \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$, be the set of all real valued functions on V_m . The standard inner product on $\ell(V_m)$, denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, is defined as, $\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{p \in V_m} u(p) v(p)$, for $u, v \in \ell(V_m)$. The difference operator on $\ell(V_m)$ is a linear operator $H_m : \ell(V_m) \longrightarrow \ell(V_m)$ defined inductively as follows. First, for $u \in \ell(V_0)$ and $(\dot{l}) \in V_0$,

$$H_0(u)(\dot{l}) := -(N-1)u(\dot{l}) + \sum_{\substack{k \in S \\ k \neq l}} u(\dot{k}).$$

Now, let $m \ge 1$, $u \in \ell(V_m)$ and $p \in V_m$. Define $\kappa_p := \min\{j : p \in V_j\}$ to be the index of the smallest set from collection $\{V_m\}_{m\ge 0}$, which contains the point p. Note that if $\kappa_p \ge 1$ then $p \in V_{\kappa_p} \setminus V_{\kappa_p-1}$. Then define H_m as

$$\begin{aligned} H_m(u)(p) &:= \begin{cases} -(N-1)\,u(p) + \sum_{q \in \mathcal{U}_{p,m}} u(q) & \text{if } p \in V_m \setminus V_{m-1}, \\ \\ H_{m-1}(u|_{V_{m-1}})(p) + \left[-(N-1)\,u(p) + \sum_{q \in \mathcal{U}_{p,m}} u(q) \right] & \text{if } p \in V_{m-1}. \end{cases} \\ &= H_{\kappa_p}u(p) + \sum_{j=\kappa_p+1}^m \sum_{q \in \mathcal{U}_{p,j}} (u(q) - u(p)). \end{aligned}$$

© 2022 ISTE OpenScience - Published by ISTE Ltd. London, UK - openscience.fr

The difference operator H_m gives the total difference between the functional values at a point p and its j-neighbours in sets V_j , for all $\kappa_p \leq j \leq m$. Let $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma_N^+)$ denote the set of all real valued continuous functions on Σ_N^+ . For $u, f \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_N^+)$, we say $\Delta u = f$ if,

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \max_{p \in V_m \setminus V_{m-1}} \left| \frac{H_m u(p)}{\mu([p_1 \, p_2 \, \cdots \, p_{m+1}])} - f(p) \right| = 0.$$
(2.1)

The operator Δ is known as the *Laplacian*. The set of all continuous functions u for which Δu exists, is called as the domain of the Laplacian, and is denoted by D_{μ} ,

$$D_{\mu} := \left\{ u \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_{N}^{+}) : \exists f \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_{N}^{+}) \text{ satisfying} \\ \lim_{m \to \infty} \max_{p \in V_{m} \setminus V_{m-1}} \left| \frac{H_{m}u(p)}{\mu([p_{1} \, p_{2} \, \cdots \, p_{m+1}])} - f(p) \right| = 0 \right\}.$$

The image of a function u under the Laplacian can be written pointwise as,

$$f(x) = \lim_{m \to \infty} N^{m+1} H_m u(p^m),$$

where $x \in \Sigma_N^+$ and $\{p^m\}_{m \ge 0}$ is a sequence of points such that $p^m \in V_m \setminus V_{m-1}$, converging to x.

3. Energy

In this section, we recall the notion of finite Dirichlet forms and energy on the shift space, as introduced in [26]. Since each H_m is a symmetric linear operator on $\ell(V_m)$ with V_m being finite, it induces a natural symmetric bilinear form known as *Dirichlet form* \mathcal{E}_{H_m} on $\ell(V_m)$, which is given by,

$$\mathcal{E}_{H_m}(u,v) := -\langle u, H_m v \rangle = -\sum_{p \in V_m} u(p) H_m v(p) \quad \text{for } u, v \in \ell(V_m).$$
(3.1)

See [17] for the concepts of Dirichlet forms on sequence of finite resistance networks. We denote $\mathcal{E}_{H_m}(u, u)$ by $\mathcal{E}_{H_m}(u)$ for simplicity. The Dirichlet form satisfies the following.

- 1. $\mathcal{E}_{H_m}(u) \ge 0$ for all $u \in \ell(V_m)$,
- 2. $\mathcal{E}_{H_m}(u) = 0$ if and only if u is constant on V_m and
- 3. For any $u \in \ell(V_m)$, $\mathcal{E}_{H_m}(u) \geq \mathcal{E}_{H_m}(\bar{u})$ where \bar{u} is defined by

$$\bar{u}(p) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u(p) \ge 1, \\ u(p) & \text{if } 0 < u(p) < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } u(p) \le 0. \end{cases}$$
(3.2)

Following are some alternate expressions for \mathcal{E}_{H_m} which will prove to be useful in most of the calculations in the subsequent sections. Let $u, v \in \ell(V_m)$.

$$\mathcal{E}_{H_m}(u,v) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,q \in V_m} (H_m)_{pq} (u(p) - u(q)) (v(p) - v(q))$$

= $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{p \in V_i} \sum_{q \in \mathcal{U}_{p,i}} (u(p) - u(q)) (v(p) - v(q)).$

This sequence of the Dirichlet forms $\{\mathcal{E}_{H_m}\}_{m\geq 0}$, defines a symmetric bilinear operator \mathcal{E} on $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma_N^+)$ as,

$$\mathcal{E}(u,v) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_{H_m}(u|_{V_m},v|_{V_m}).$$

For v = u, if the limit $\mathcal{E}(u) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_{H_m}(u|_{V_m})$ is finite, then $\mathcal{E}(u)$ is known as the *energy* of u. The set of all such continuous functions having finite energy is called as the *domain of energy*, denoted by $dom \mathcal{E}$. Any function $u_m \in \ell(V_m)$ can be uniquely extended to a continuous function $h : \Sigma_N^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ taking constant values on the (m + 1)-long cylinder sets. Such an extension h of u_m , is called as an *energy minimizer extension*, due to the fact that this extension is the only function that minimizes the energy in the sense,

$$\mathcal{E}(h) = \mathcal{E}_{H_m}(u_m) = \min \{ \mathcal{E}_{H_n}(v) \mid n > m, v \in \ell(V_n), v \mid_{V_m} = u_m \}.$$

This property makes the sequence of difference operators $\{H_m\}_{m\geq 0}$ compatible, in the sense of Kigami. For a point $p \in V_m$, consider the characteristic function $\chi_q \in \ell(V_m)$ of a point $q \in V_m$,

$$\chi_p(q) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q = p, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The energy minimizer extension of χ_p be denoted by $\chi_p^m : \Sigma_N^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and is given by,

$$\chi_p^m = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } [p_1 \, p_2 \cdots p_{m+1}] \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

In general, any function taking constant values on cylinder sets of some particular length is called as energy minimizer. These functions are the basic simple functions on Σ_N^+ and they play an important role in the discussion. Since an energy minimizer h takes constant values on cylinder sets of length, say m+1, for some $m \ge 0$, it satisfies $\Delta h = 0$ and is a harmonic function. Moreover, $D_{\mu} \subset \operatorname{dom} \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_N^+)$ with the inclusions being dense. The density can be seen through the fact that harmonic functions, in particular the energy minimizers belong to D_{μ} and also form a dense subset of $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma_N^+)$. For instance, let $u \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_N^+)$ and for each $m \ge 0$, define energy minimizers u_m taking constant values on cylinder sets of length m + 1 as,

$$u_m := \sum_{p \in V_m} u(p) \chi_p^m.$$
(3.4)

Since u is uniformly continuous function such that $u_m|_{V_m} = u|_{V_m}$, $\{u_m\}_{m\geq 0}$ converges to u uniformly as $m \to \infty$. All the proofs can be found in [26].

We now investigate some more interesting properties of energy \mathcal{E} and $dom \mathcal{E}$. Let us first look into the energy of an energy minimizer h, taking constant values on cylinder sets of length m + 1, for some $m \ge 0$. By definition,

$$\mathcal{E}(h,u) = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{p \in V_i} \sum_{q \in \mathcal{U}_{p,i}} \left(h(p) - h(q) \right) \left(u(p) - u(q) \right).$$

Observe that for each $i \ge m + 1$, h(p) - h(q) = 0, for all $p \in V_i$ and $q \in \mathcal{U}_{p,i}$, since each such pair of points p and q belongs to the same cylinder set of length m+1. Therefore, $\mathcal{E}(h, u) = \mathcal{E}_{H_m}(h, u)$. Further, for u = h, it follows that $\mathcal{E}(h) = \mathcal{E}_{H_m}(h)$. By an abuse of notation, by $\mathcal{E}_{H_m}(h)$ we mean $\mathcal{E}_{H_m}(h|_{V_m})$ hereafter. We have thus proved the following.

Proposition 3.1. If h is an energy minimizer, then there exists $m \ge 0$ such that $\mathcal{E}(h, u) = \mathcal{E}_{H_m}(h, u)$. In particular $\mathcal{E}(h) = \mathcal{E}_{H_m}(h)$.

The energy $\mathcal{E}(u) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \sum_{p,q \in V_m} (H_m)_{pq} (u(p) - u(q))^2$ is always non-negative, since all the summands are non-negative. Also, $\mathcal{E}(u) = 0$ if and only if u is constant. We say that a sequence of functions $\{v_n\}_{n \ge 0}$ converges to a function v in energy if, v_n converges uniformly to v and $\mathcal{E}(v_n - v) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Lemma 3.2. If $u \in \text{dom } \mathcal{E}$, then the sequence of functions $\{u_m\}_{m\geq 0}$ as defined in equation (3.4), converges to u in energy.

Proof. The uniform convergence of u_m to u is already established. Now, for $u \in dom \mathcal{E}$, consider the functions as defined in equation (3.4). Since each u_m is an energy minimizer, by the above proposition, we have $u_m \in dom \mathcal{E}$ and,

$$\mathcal{E}(u_m) = \mathcal{E}_{H_m}(u_m). \tag{3.5}$$

Since $u|_{V_m} = u_m|_{V_m}$, we know that the energy of u is,

$$\mathcal{E}(u) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_{H_m}(u_m).$$

For each $m \ge 0$ observe that,

$$\mathcal{E}(u, u_m) = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{p \in V_i} \sum_{q \in \mathcal{U}_{p,i}} (u(p) - u(q)) (u_m(p) - u_m(q))$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{p \in V_i} \sum_{q \in \mathcal{U}_{p,i}} (u_m(p) - u_m(q))^2$$

$$= \mathcal{E}_{H_m}(u_m).$$
(3.6)

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(u-u_m) &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{p \in V_i} \sum_{q \in \mathcal{U}_{p,i}} \left((u-u_m)(p) - (u-u_m)(q) \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{p \in V_i} \sum_{q \in \mathcal{U}_{p,i}} \left((u(p) - u(q)) - (u_m(p) - u_m(q)) \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{p \in V_i} \sum_{q \in \mathcal{U}_{p,i}} \left[(u(p) - u(q))^2 + (u_m(p) - u_m(q))^2 \right] \\ &+ \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{p \in V_i} \sum_{q \in \mathcal{U}_{p,i}} (u(p) - u(q))(u_m(p) - u_m(q)) \\ &= \mathcal{E}(u) + \mathcal{E}(u_m) - 2 \mathcal{E}(u, u_m). \end{aligned}$$

Using equations (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain,

$$\mathcal{E}(u-u_m)=\mathcal{E}(u)-\mathcal{E}_{H_m}(u_m).$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \mathcal{E}(u - u_m) = \mathcal{E}(u) - \lim_{m \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_{H_m}(u_m) = 0.$$

4. Weak formulation of the Laplacian

As the shift space is totally disconnected and has topological dimension 0, the topological notion of a boundary is of little significance. This means that we have complete control over the choice of the boundary sets. Our natural candidate for a boundary is any of the sets V_M for $M \ge 0$, on which the finite difference operators are defined. This choice is motivated from the study of analysis on finite sets, according to which, a set V_m is considered as the boundary of the set V_{m+1} . Having set V_M as a boundary, define the space of finite energy functions vanishing on the boundary V_M as,

$$dom_M \mathcal{E} := \{ u \in dom \mathcal{E} : u |_{V_M} = 0 \}.$$

We now propose the following weak formulation of the Laplacian.

Definition 4.1. Let $u \in \text{dom } \mathcal{E}$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_N^+)$. We say $\Delta u = f$ in weak sense, if there exist some $M \ge 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{E}(u,v) = -\int_{\Sigma_N^+} f v \,\mathrm{d}\mu, \quad \text{for all } v \in dom_M \,\mathcal{E}.$$
(4.1)

For each $M \ge 0$, consider the following subsets of the domain of the Laplacian D_{μ} .

$$\mathcal{D}_{M} := \left\{ u \in D_{\mu} : \exists f \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_{N}^{+}) \text{ with } \Delta u = f \text{ satisfying} \right.$$
$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \max_{p \in V_{m} \setminus V_{M}} \left| \frac{H_{m}u(p)}{\mu([p_{1}p_{2}\cdots p_{m+1}])} - f(p) \right| = 0 \right\}.$$
(4.2)

Each \mathcal{D}_M is a linear subspace of D_μ and $\Delta|_{\mathcal{D}_M} : \mathcal{D}_M \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_N^+)$ is a linear operator. In each \mathcal{D}_M , the maximum is taken over the entire set $V_m \setminus V_M$ and not just $V_m \setminus V_{m-1}$ which was the case in D_μ . So these are the functions on Σ_N^+ , with $\Delta u = f$, with V_M being the boundary, for which the renormalized sequence $N^{m+1}H_m u$ converges to f stronger than for the functions in D_μ .

It is simple to see that $\{dom_m \mathcal{E}\}_{m\geq 0}$ and $\{\mathcal{D}_m\}_{m\geq 0}$ form a nested sequence of sets as,

 $dom_0 \mathcal{E} \supset dom_1 \mathcal{E} \supset dom_2 \mathcal{E} \supset \cdots$, and, $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_2 \subset \cdots$.

Consider $\mathcal{D} := \bigcup_{M \ge 0} \mathcal{D}_M$. For the purpose of studying the weak formulation, we focus on the Laplacian operator restricted to the domain $\mathcal{D}, \Delta|_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_N^+)$.

Let $u \in \mathcal{D}_M$ and $\Delta u = f$. Our aim is to show that $\Delta u = f$ in the weak sense too. That is, $\mathcal{E}(u, v) = -\int_{N} f v \, d\mu$, for all $v \in dom_M \mathcal{E}$. Each $dom_M \mathcal{E}$ acts as a set of test functions for the Laplacian $\Delta|_{\mathcal{D}_M}$.

First we make the following two observations.

Lemma 4.2. For $m \ge M + 1$ and $p \in V_m \setminus V_M$, $\chi_p^m \in dom_M \mathcal{E}$.

Proof. If $p = (p_1 \cdots p_m \dot{p}_{m+1}) \in V_m \setminus V_M$, then $M + 1 \leq \kappa_p \leq m$ and $p_{\kappa_p} \neq p_{\kappa_p+1}$. Now, if $x \in V_M$ then $x_i = x_{i+1}$, for all $i \geq M + 1$. In particular, $x_{\kappa_p} = x_{\kappa_p+1}$. Clearly, $x \notin [p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m+1}]$ and $\chi_p^m(x) = 0$, proving $\chi_p^m \in dom_M \mathcal{E}$.

Lemma 4.3. For $m \ge M + 1$ and $p \in V_m \setminus V_M$, $\mathcal{E}(u, \chi_p^m) = -H_m u(p)$.

Proof. Since χ_p^m is an energy minimizer taking constant values on cylinder sets of length m + 1, by proposition (3.1),

$$\mathcal{E}(u,\chi_p^m) = \mathcal{E}_{H_m}(u,\chi_p^m) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{x \in V_i} \sum_{q \in \mathcal{U}_{x,i}} \left(u(x) - u(q) \right) \left(\chi_p^m(x) - \chi_p^m(q) \right).$$

Note that for any $x \in V_m$, $\chi_p^m(x) = 1$ if and only if x = p. We know that $p \in V_{\kappa_p} \setminus V_{\kappa_p-1}$ for some κ_p such that $M + 1 \le \kappa_p \le m$. Then for all $\kappa_p \le i \le m$ and $q \in \mathcal{U}_{p,i}$, we have $\chi_p^m(q) = 0$. Due to the fact that the roles of p and q are reversible, we obtain,

$$\mathcal{E}(u,\chi_p^m) = \sum_{i=\kappa_p}^m \sum_{q \in \mathcal{U}_{p,i}} (u(p) - u(q)) = -H_m u(p).$$

For every $m \ge M + 1$, consider the function $v_m := \sum_{p \in V_m} v(p) \chi_p^m$ as defined in equation (3.4) which converge to v uniformly, as $m \to \infty$. Since $v|_{V_M} = 0$, $v_m = \sum_{p \in V_m \setminus V_M} v(p) \chi_p^m$. Lemma (4.2) implies that, $v_m \in dom_M \mathcal{E}$. Note that each v_m is an energy minimizer and takes constant values on cylinder sets of length m + 1. Then by proposition (3.1) we have,

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \mathcal{E}(u, v_m) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_{H_m}(u, v_m)$$
$$= \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{p \in V_i} \sum_{q \in \mathcal{U}_{p,i}} \left(u(p) - u(q) \right) \left(v(p) - v(q) \right)$$
$$= \mathcal{E}(u, v).$$

Also, by the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{\Sigma_N^+} f v_m \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \int_{\Sigma_N^+} f v \, \mathrm{d}\mu.$$

Therefore it is enough to prove that

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \left| \mathcal{E}(u, v_m) + \int_{\Sigma_N^+} f v_m \, \mathrm{d}\mu \right| = 0.$$

Now, recalling the basic definition of integration, we may write

$$\int_{\Sigma_N^+} f v_m \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{\{[p_1 \cdots p_{n+1}]: p_i \in S\}} f(t) v_m(t) \, \mu([p_1 \cdots p_{n+1}]),$$

where $t \in [p_1 \cdots p_{n+1}]$ is a tag for the partition of Σ_N^+ by all cylinder sets of length n + 1. Choose $t = (p_1 \cdots p_n \dot{p}_{n+1}) \in V_n$. Each such point $t \in [p_1 \cdots p_{n+1}]$ and the cylinder sets of length n + 1 are in one to one correspondence. Moreover if $t \in V_M$ then $v_m(t) = 0$. Therefore the above sum can be rewritten as,

$$\int_{\Sigma_N^+} f v_m \,\mathrm{d}\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^{n+1}} \sum_{p \in V_n \setminus V_M} f(p) v_m(p).$$
(4.3)

Using the definition of Dirichlet forms on V_m as given in equation (3.1), we get

$$\mathcal{E}(u, v_m) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_{H_n}(u, v_m)$$

= $-\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{p \in V_n \setminus V_M} (H_n u)(p) v_m(p).$ (4.4)

Making use of (4.3) and (4.4) we obtain,

$$\begin{split} \lim_{m \to \infty} \left| \mathcal{E}(u, v_m) + \int_{\Sigma_N^+} f v_m \, \mathrm{d}\mu \right| \\ &= \lim_{m \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N^{n+1}} \sum_{p \in V_n \setminus V_M} f(p) \, v_m(p) - \sum_{p \in V_n \setminus V_M} (H_n u)(p) \, v_m(p) \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{m \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^{n+1}} \sum_{p \in V_n \setminus V_M} \sup_{x \in \Sigma_N^+} |v(x)| \max_{p \in V_n \setminus V_M} \left| f(p) - N^{n+1}(H_n u)(p) \right| \\ &= \sup_{x \in \Sigma_N^+} |v(x)| \lim_{m \to \infty} \left\{ \lim_{n \to \infty} \max_{p \in V_n \setminus V_M} \left| f(p) - N^{n+1}(H_n u)(p) \right| \frac{N^{n+1} - N^{M+1}}{N^{n+1}} \right\} \\ &= 0, \end{split}$$

as, $\frac{N^{n+1}-N^{M+1}}{N^{n+1}} \leq 1$ and thus $\Delta u = f$ holds in the weak sense. This suggests that we call the formulation of the Laplacian for the functions in the new domain \mathcal{D}_M as described in equation (4.2), as the *strong formulation*. Moreover, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4. The strong and the weak formulation of the Laplacian agree on \mathcal{D}_M .

Proof. We have already established the part strong \implies weak, in the discussion before stating the theorem. To prove weak \implies strong on any \mathcal{D}_M , let $u \in \mathcal{D} \subset \operatorname{dom} \mathcal{E}$ and $\Delta u = g$ in the weak sense. There exists $M \ge 0$ such that the equation (4.1) holds. Since $\chi_p^m \in \operatorname{dom}_M \mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{E}(u, \chi_p^m) = -\int_{\Sigma_N^+} g \chi_p^m d\mu$.

Then, by lemma (4.3), $H_m u(p) = \int_{[p_1 \cdots p_{m+1}]} g \, d\mu$. Now consider,

$$\max_{p \in V_m \setminus V_M} \left| N^{m+1} H_m u(p) - g(p) \right| = \max_{p \in V_m \setminus V_M} \left| \frac{1}{\mu([p_1 \cdots p_{m+1}])} \int_{[p_1 \cdots p_{m+1}]} g \, \mathrm{d}\mu - g(p) \right|$$
$$\to 0, \text{ as } m \to \infty.$$

The convergence follows directly from the Lebesgue differentiation theorem, see [13]. Therefore, $u \in \mathcal{D}_M$ and $\Delta|_{\mathcal{D}_M} u = g$ in the strong sense.

We have already established in [26], that every energy minimizer is a harmonic function. The weak formulation of the Laplacian further guarantees that these are the only harmonic functions in \mathcal{D} .

Theorem 4.5. *Every harmonic function in* D *is an energy minimizer.*

Proof. Let $h \in \mathcal{D}$ be a harmonic function, that is, $\Delta h = 0$. By the weak formulation of the Laplacian, there exists $M \ge 0$ such that $\mathcal{E}(h, v) = 0$ for all $v \in dom_M \mathcal{E}$. Using lemma (4.2), for each m > M and any $x = (x_1 \cdots x_m \dot{x}_{m+1}) \in V_m \setminus V_{m-1}, \ \chi_x^m \in dom_M \mathcal{E}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{E}(h, \chi_x^m) = 0$. By proposition (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(h, \chi_x^m) &= \mathcal{E}_{H_m}(h, \chi_x^m) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{p \in V_i} \sum_{q \in \mathcal{U}_{p,i}} \left(h(p) - h(q) \right) \left(\chi_x^m(p) - \chi_x^m(q) \right) \\ &= \sum_{q \in \mathcal{U}_{x,m}} \left(h(x) - h(q) \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\mathcal{U}_{x,m}$ contains N-1 points which are the *m*-neighbours of *x* in V_m . Let us denote these neighbours by $q^1, q^2, \dots, q^{N-1} \in \mathcal{U}_{x,m}$. Amongst these N-1 points, let $q^1, \dots, q^{N-2} \in V_m \setminus V_{m-1}$ and $q^{N-1} = (x_1 \cdots x_{m-1} \dot{x}_m) \in V_{m-1}$. Following the same method for each of the characteristic functions $\chi_{q^1}^m, \chi_{q^2}^m, \dots, \chi_{q^{N-2}}^m$, we obtain the following system of N-1 equations.

$$-(N-1)h(x) + h(q^{1}) + \dots + h(q^{N-2}) + h(q^{N-1}) = 0$$

$$h(x) - (N-1)h(q^{1}) + \dots + h(q^{N-2}) + h(q^{N-1}) = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$h(x) + h(q^{1}) + \dots - (N-1)h(q^{N-2}) + h(q^{N-1}) = 0.$$

Solving the above simultaneous system, we get

$$h(x) = h(q^1) = \dots = h(q^{N-1}),$$

which implies that h assumes constant values on cylinder sets of length m for all m > M. This essentially means that h is an energy minimizer taking constant values on cylinder sets of length M + 1, proving the theorem.

A function $u \in \mathcal{D}$, belongs to \mathcal{D}_M for some $M \ge 0$. In that case V_M is chosen to be a boundary for Σ_N^+ . Thus, for a function u in \mathcal{D} , there is a naturally associated boundary set V_M for some $M \ge 0$, which is particular to the function u. Note that we do not require a fixed boundary for all the functions in \mathcal{D} . Further, since the set V_0 is contained in V_M for all $M \ge 0$, it is enough to have the boundary values specified only on the set V_0 , for the purpose of solving any kind of boundary value problem. In [26], the Dirichlet boundary value problem was solved for the operator $\Delta : D_\mu \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_N^+)$. Interestingly, the solution to this problem obtained therein can be seen to be belonging to \mathcal{D} . This point will be apparent following the discussion in section (6). Therefore, we will restrict our study of the Laplacian only on the domain \mathcal{D} . The improved version of the Dirichlet boundary value problem is stated as follows. We do not present the proof here, as the arguments run along the same lines as in [26].

Theorem 4.6. For any given $f \in C(\Sigma_N^+)$ and $\zeta \in \ell(V_0)$, there exists a continuous function $u \in D_0$ such that the following holds:

 $\Delta u = f$ subject to $u|_{V_0} = \zeta$.

This solution is unique upto harmonic functions taking value 0 on V_0 .

5. Neumann derivative

In this section, we obtain a relation between the Laplacian and the energy of a function in a more general form than the weak formulation defined in the previous section. For that purpose, we define the concept of the Neumann derivative of a function in \mathcal{D} at the boundary points. As a direct corollary to this result, we derive a relation between the Laplacian and its normal derivatives at the boundary points. This formula has a natural resemblance with the Gauss-Green's formula in classical calculus.

Lemma 5.1. Let $u \in D$ and V_M be the corresponding boundary for u. Then for each $p \in V_M$, the limit $\lim_{m \to \infty} H_m u(p)$ exists.

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{D}$ with V_M being the corresponding boundary. Then there exists a positive constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$, and $M_0 \ge M$ such that for all $i \ge M_0$, $p \in V_i$ and $q \in \mathcal{U}_{p,i}$, $|u(q) - u(p)| \le \frac{C}{N^{i+1}}$. Let $p \in V_M$ and $m > n \ge M_0$.

$$|H_m u(p) - H_n u(p)| = \left| \sum_{i=n+1}^m \sum_{q \in \mathcal{U}_{p,i}} \left(u(q) - u(p) \right) \right|$$
$$\leq C \frac{(N-1)}{N^{n+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m-n} \frac{1}{N^i}$$

which converges to 0 as $m, n \to \infty$. Thus for each $p \in V_M$, the sequence $\{H_m u(p)\}_{m \ge 0}$ is Cauchy and hence convergent.

Definition 5.2. Let $u \in D$ and V_M be the corresponding boundary for u. The Neumann derivative of u at $p \in V_M$, denoted by (du)(p), is defined as,

$$(du)(p) := \lim_{m \to \infty} -H_m u(p).$$
(5.1)

Theorem 5.3. Let $u \in D$, $v \in dom \mathcal{E}$ and V_M be the corresponding boundary for u. Then,

$$\mathcal{E}(u,v) = \sum_{p \in V_M} v(p) (du)(p) - \int_{\Sigma_N^+} (\Delta u) v \, \mathrm{d}\mu.$$
(5.2)

Moreover,

$$\sum_{p \in V_M} (du)(p) = \int_{\Sigma_N^+} \Delta u \, \mathrm{d}\mu.$$
(5.3)

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{D}$ and $v \in dom \mathcal{E}$. By the definition of the Dirichlet form, for all $m \geq M$,

$$\mathcal{E}_{H_m}(u,v) = -\langle v, H_m u \rangle$$

= $-\sum_{p \in V_M} v(p) H_m u(p) - \sum_{p \in V_m \setminus V_M} v(p) H_m u(p).$

For each $v \in \operatorname{dom} \mathcal{E}$, consider $\tilde{v} \in \operatorname{dom}_M \mathcal{E}$ such that $\tilde{v}|_{\Sigma_N^+ \setminus V_M} = v$ and $\tilde{v}|_{V_M} = 0$. Then,

$$\mathcal{E}_{H_m}(u,\tilde{v}) = -\sum_{p \in V_m \setminus V_M} \tilde{v}(p) H_m u(p).$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{E}_{H_m}(u,v) = -\sum_{p \in V_M} v(p) H_m u(p) + \mathcal{E}_{H_m}(u,\tilde{v}).$$

Taking limit as $m \to \infty$, using the weak formulation for Δu and the definition of the normal derivative of u, we obtain,

$$\mathcal{E}(u,v) = -\sum_{p \in V_M} v(p) \left(\lim_{m \to \infty} H_m u(p)\right) + \mathcal{E}(u,\tilde{v})$$
$$= \sum_{p \in V_M} v(p) (du)(p) - \int_{\Sigma_N^+} (\Delta u) \tilde{v} \, \mathrm{d}\mu.$$

Since \tilde{v} agrees with v except for a set of μ measure zero, $\int_{\Sigma_N^+} (\Delta u) \tilde{v} d\mu = \int_{\Sigma_N^+} (\Delta u) v d\mu$. Thus, (5.2) holds. Further, (5.3) can be obtained for $v \equiv 1$ in (5.2).

The analogous Gauss-Green's formula on Σ_N^+ is easily obtained as a corollary to the above theorem due to the symmetry of the energy \mathcal{E} .

Corollary 5.4 (Gauss-Green's formula). For $u, v \in \mathcal{D}_M$,

$$\int_{\Sigma_N^+} (v \,\Delta u \,-\, u \,\Delta v) \,\mathrm{d}\mu \,=\, \sum_{p \,\in\, V_M} \left(v(p)(du)(p) \,-\, u(p)(dv)(p) \right)$$

6. Neumann Boundary Value Problem

We begin this section by recalling some of the concepts of Green's function and Green's operator on Σ_N^+ , developed in [26]. These tools will help us in proving the existence of the solution to the equation $\Delta u = f$ under Neumann boundary conditions. Consider the operator $G_m : \ell(V_m \setminus V_{m-1}) \longrightarrow \ell(V_m \setminus V_{m-1})$ defined by,

$$(G_m)_{pq} = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{N} & \text{if } q = p, \\ \frac{1}{N} & \text{if } q \sim_m p, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(6.1)

where $(G_m)_{pq}$ denotes the value $(G_m\chi_q)(p)$. The *Green's function* on Σ_N^+ , $g: \Sigma_N^+ \times \Sigma_N^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is defined as,

$$g(x,y) = \begin{cases} \sum_{m=1}^{\rho(x,y)-1} \sum_{r,s \in V_m \setminus V_{m-1}} (G_m)_{rs} \chi_r^m(x) \chi_s^m(y) & \text{if } \rho(x,y) > 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \rho(x,y) = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\rho(x, y)$ is the first instance where x and y disagree. Let $\mathcal{L}^1(\Sigma_N^+)$ be the space of μ -integrable functions on Σ_N^+ . The *Green's operator* on $\mathcal{L}^1(\Sigma_N^+)$ is an integral operator whose kernel is the Green's function. It is defined as,

$$G_{\mu}f(x) := \int_{\Sigma_N^+ \setminus \{x\}} g(x,y) f(y) d\mu(y) \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Sigma_N^+).$$

Lemma 6.1. [26] For any $f \in C(\Sigma_N^+)$, the following holds.

- 1. $(G_{\mu}f)|_{V_0} = 0.$
- 2. For any $n \ge 1$ and $p \in V_n \setminus V_{n-1}$,

$$H_n(G_\mu f)(p) = -\int_{\Sigma_N^+} \chi_p^n f \,\mathrm{d}\mu.$$

3. $G_{\mu}f \in D_{\mu}$ and $\Delta(G_{\mu}f) = -f$.

Theorem 6.2. For any $f \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_N^+)$ and $M \ge 0$,

$$d(G_{\mu}f)(p) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \in V_M \setminus V_0 \\ -\int\limits_{[p_1]} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu & \text{where } p = (\dot{p}_1) \in V_0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $p \in V_M \setminus V_0$. Then $0 < \kappa_p \le M$ and $p = (p_1 \cdots p_{\kappa_p} \dot{p}_{\kappa_p+1})$ with $p_{\kappa_p} \ne p_{\kappa_p+1}$. By statement (2) of lemma (6.1) we have,

$$H_{\kappa_p}(G_{\mu}f)(p) = -\int_{\Sigma_N^+} \chi_p^{\kappa_p} f \,\mathrm{d}\mu.$$
(6.2)

Along the same lines, we claim that, for each $m > \kappa_p$,

$$H_m(G_\mu f)(p) = -\int_{\Sigma_N^+} \chi_p^m f \,\mathrm{d}\mu.$$
(6.3)

Let $m = \kappa_p + 1$. H_{κ_p+1} can be written in terms of H_{κ_p} as,

$$H_{\kappa_p+1}(G_{\mu}f)(p) = H_{\kappa_p}(G_{\mu}f)(p) + \left[-(N-1)(G_{\mu}f)(p) + \sum_{q \in \mathcal{U}_{p,\kappa_p+1}} (G_{\mu}f)(q) \right].$$
(6.4)

Let us denote the points in $\mathcal{U}_{p,\kappa_p+1}$ by, $\mathcal{U}_{p,\kappa_p+1} = \{r^1, r^2, \cdots, r^{N-1}\} \subset V_{\kappa_p+1} \setminus V_{\kappa_p}$. Then,

$$-(N-1)(G_{\mu}f)(p) + \sum_{r \in \mathcal{U}_{p,\kappa_{p+1}}} (G_{\mu}f)(r)$$

=
$$\int_{\Sigma_{N}^{+} \setminus \{p,r^{1},\dots,r^{N-1}\}} \left[-(N-1)g(p,y) + g(r^{1},y) + \dots + g(r^{N-1},y) \right] f(y) d\mu(y).$$
(6.5)

Consider,

$$= -(N-1) g(p, y) + g(r^{1}, y) + \dots + g(r^{N-1}, y)$$

$$= -(N-1) \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\kappa_{p}} \sum_{s,t \in V_{m} \setminus V_{m-1}} (G_{m})_{st} \chi_{s}^{m}(p) \chi_{t}^{m}(y) \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{m=1}^{\kappa_{p}+1} \sum_{s,t \in V_{m} \setminus V_{m-1}} (G_{m})_{st} \chi_{s}^{m}(r^{1}) \chi_{t}^{m}(y)$$

$$+ \dots$$

$$+ \sum_{m=1}^{\kappa_{p}+1} \sum_{s,t \in V_{m} \setminus V_{m-1}} (G_{m})_{st} \chi_{s}^{m}(r^{N-1}) \chi_{t}^{m}(y).$$

We know that $p, r^1, r^2, \dots, r^{N-1}$ agree on the first $\kappa_p + 1$ positions. So for all $m \leq \kappa_p$, all the terms in the above sum are equal and get canceled. Only the terms corresponding to $m = \kappa_p + 1$ remain. That is,

$$- (N-1) g(p,y) + g(r^{1},y) + \dots + g(r^{N-1},y)$$

$$= \sum_{s,t \in V_{\kappa_{p}+1} \setminus V_{\kappa_{p}}} (G_{\kappa_{p}+1})_{st} \chi_{s}^{\kappa_{p}+1}(r^{1}) \chi_{t}^{\kappa_{p}+1}(y)$$

$$+ \dots + \sum_{s,t \in V_{\kappa_{p}+1} \setminus V_{\kappa_{p}}} (G_{\kappa_{p}+1})_{st} \chi_{s}^{\kappa_{p}+1}(r^{N-1}) \chi_{t}^{\kappa_{p}+1}(y)$$

$$= \sum_{t \in V_{\kappa_{p}+1} \setminus V_{\kappa_{p}}} (G_{\kappa_{p}+1})_{r^{1}t} \chi_{t}^{\kappa_{p}+1}(y) + \dots + \sum_{t \in V_{\kappa_{p}+1} \setminus V_{\kappa_{p}}} (G_{\kappa_{p}+1})_{r^{N-1}t} \chi_{t}^{\kappa_{p}+1}(y).$$

The second step here follows due to the fact that for each $1 \leq i \leq N-1$, $r^i \in V_{\kappa_p+1} \setminus V_{\kappa_p}$ and $\chi_s^{\kappa_p+1}(r^i) = 1$ if and only if $s = r^i$. Substituting for the values $(G_{\kappa_p+1})_{q^is}$ as given in equation (6.1), we get,

$$-(N-1)g(p,y) + g(r^{1},y) + \dots + g(r^{N-1},y) = \chi_{r^{1}}^{\kappa_{p}+1}(y) + \dots + \chi_{r^{N-1}}^{\kappa_{p}+1}(y)$$

Define the set $P_{\kappa_p+1} := [p_1 \cdots p_{\kappa_p} p_{\kappa_p+1}] \setminus ([p_1 \cdots p_{\kappa_p} p_{\kappa_p+1} p_{\kappa_p+1}] \cup \mathcal{U}_{p,\kappa_p+1})$. Using equation (6.2) and above calculations in equation (6.4) we obtain,

$$H_{\kappa_{p}+1}(G_{\mu}f)(p) = -\int_{\Sigma_{N}^{+}} \chi_{p}^{\kappa_{p}} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu + \int_{\Sigma_{N}^{+} \setminus \{p, r^{1}, \cdots, r^{N-1}\}} \left(\chi_{r^{1}}^{\kappa_{p}+1}(y) + \cdots + \chi_{r^{N-1}}^{\kappa_{p}+1}(y) \right) f(y) \, \mathrm{d}\mu$$
$$= -\int_{[p_{1} \cdots p_{\kappa_{p}} p_{\kappa_{p}+1}]} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu + \int_{P_{\kappa_{p}}} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu$$

© 2022 ISTE OpenScience - Published by ISTE Ltd. London, UK - openscience.fr

$$= -\int_{\substack{[p_1 \cdots p_{\kappa_p} p_{\kappa_p+1} p_{\kappa_p+1}]\\ = -\int_{\Sigma_N^+} \chi_p^{\kappa_p+1} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu.}$$

As $\{r^1, r^2, \dots, r^{N-1}\}$ is only a finite set, it has measure 0. Similarly by the method of induction we can prove that for each $m > \kappa_p$,

$$H_m(G_\mu f)(p) = -\int_{\Sigma_N^+} \chi_p^m f \,\mathrm{d}\mu = \int_{[p_1 \cdots p_m p_{m+1}]} f \,\mathrm{d}\mu$$

As $m \to \infty$, the cylinder sets $[p_1 \cdots p_m p_{m+1}] \to \{p\}$. Thus, $H_m(G_\mu f)(p) \to 0$ and thus $d(G_\mu f)(p) = 0$.

Now, let $p = (p_1 p_2 \cdots) = (\dot{p}_1) \in V_0$, that is for all $i \ge 1$, $p_i = p_1$. Clearly $H_0(G_{\mu}f) = 0$, as $(G_{\mu}f)|_{V_0} = 0$. By the inductive definition of H_m we have,

$$H_m(G_\mu f)(p) = \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{q \in \mathcal{U}_{p,n}} (G_\mu f)(q).$$

Following similar arguments employed in the first part of the proof we get,

$$H_{1}(G_{\mu}f)(p) = \int_{\sum_{N}^{+} \setminus \{r^{1}, \dots, r^{N-1}\}} \left(\chi_{r^{1}}^{1}(y) + \dots + \chi_{r^{N-1}}^{1}(y) \right) f(y) d\mu$$
$$= \int_{[p_{1}] \setminus \mathcal{U}_{p,1}} f d\mu - \int_{[p_{1}, p_{2}]} f d\mu,$$

where $\mathcal{U}_{p,1} = \{r^1, r^2, \cdots, r^{N-1}\} \subset V_1 \setminus V_0$. Again by induction it follows that,

$$H_m(G_\mu f)(p) = \int_{[p_1] \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathcal{U}_{p,i}} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu - \int_{[p_1 \cdots p_{m+1}]} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu$$

For each $m \ge 1$, the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{U}_{p,i}$ is countable and hence of measure 0. Also as $m \to \infty$, $[p_1 \cdots p_{m+1}] \to \{p\}$. Therefore, $d(G_{\mu}f)(p) = -\int_{[p_1]} f d\mu$.

We conclude the section by stating the Neumann boundary value problem for the Laplacian Δ and provide a sufficient condition for the existence of its solution.

Theorem 6.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_N^+)$ and $\xi \in \ell(V_0)$. If $\xi(p) = \int_{[p_1]} f d\mu$, then there exists $u \in \mathcal{D}_0$ satisfying, $\Delta u = f$ subject to, $(du)(p) = \xi(p)$ for $p \in V_0$.

Proof. Consider $u = -G_{\mu}f$. Then by property (3) of lemma (6.1), u satisfies the differential equation $\Delta u = f$. This u also satisfies the boundary conditions by virtue of theorem (6.2). Further, in order to

prove $G_{\mu}f \in \mathcal{D}_0$, let m > 0 and $p \in V_m \setminus V_0$. Using equation (6.3) we get,

$$\left| N^{m+1} H_m G_\mu f(p) + f(p) \right| = \left| -\int_{\Sigma_N^+} N^{m+1} \chi_p^m(y) \left(f(p) - f(y) \right) d\mu(y) \right|$$
$$\leq \int_{[p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m+1}]} N^{m+1} \left| f(p) - f(y) \right| d\mu(y)$$
$$\leq \epsilon_m \to 0, \text{ as } m \to \infty,$$

 $\sup_{y \in [p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m+1}]} |f(p) - f(y)|.$ The convergence $\epsilon_m \to 0$ follows from uniform continuity of where $\epsilon_m :=$

References

- [1] Aldous, D. and Evans, S. N., "Dirichlet forms on totally disconnected spaces and bipartite Markov chains", J. Theoret. Probab., 12 (1999), no. 3, 839 - 857.
- [2] Alonso Ruiz, P., Chen, Y., Gu, H., Strichartz, R. S., Zhou, Z., "Analysis on hybrid fractals", Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 19 (2020), no. 1, 47 - 84.
- [3] Balankin, A. S., Bory-Reyes, J., Luna-Elizarrarás, M. E. and Shapiro, M., "Cantor-type sets in hyperbolic numbers", Fractals 24 (2016), no. 4, 1650051.
- [4] Barlow, M.T. and Perkins, E.A., "Brownian motion on the Sierpiński gasket", Probab. Theory Related Fields 79 (1988), no.4, 543 - 623.
- [5] Bedford, T., Keane, M. and Series, C., (eds.), Ergodic theory, symbolic dynamics, and hyperbolic spaces, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1991.
- [6] Blanchard, F., Maass, A. and Nogueira, A., (eds.), Topics in symbolic dynamics and applications, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 279, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [7] Denker, M.H., Imai, A. and Koch, S., "Dirichlet forms on quotients of shift spaces", Collog. Math. 107 (2007), no. 1, 57 - 80.
- [8] Derfel, G., Grabner, P. J. and Vogl, F. "Laplace operators on fractals and related functional equations", J. Phys. A 45 (2012), no. 46, 463001, 34 pp.
- [9] Fukushima, M., Dirichlet forms and Markov processes, North-Holland Mathematical Library, vol. 23, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York; Kodansha, Ltd., Tokyo, 1980.
- [10] Fukushima, M. and Shima, T., "On a spectral analysis for the Sierpiński gasket", Potential Anal. 1 (1992), no. 1, 1 -35.
- [11] Goldstein, S., "Random walks and diffusions on fractals", Percolation theory and ergodic theory of infinite particle systems (Minneapolis, Minn., 1984-1985), IMA Vol. Math. Appl., vol. 8, Springer, New York, 1987, 121 - 129.
- [12] Golmankhaneh, A.K. and Balankin, A.S., "Sub-and super-diffusion on Cantor sets: Beyond the paradox", Phys. Lett. A, 382 (2018), no. 14, 960 - 967.
- [13] Heinonen, J. Lectures on analysis on metric spaces, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York (2001).
- [14] Kigami, J., "A harmonic calculus on the Sierpiński spaces", Japan J. Appl. Math. 6 (1989), no. 2, 259 290.
- [15] Kigami, J., "Harmonic calculus on p.c.f. self-similar sets", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 335 (1993), no.2, 721 755.

- [16] Kigami, J., "Effective resistances for harmonic structures on p.c.f. self-similar sets", Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 115 (1994), no. 2, 291 - 303.
- [17] Kigami, J., "Harmonic calculus on limits of networks and its application to dendrites", J. Funct. Anal. 128 (1995), no. 1, 48 86.
- [18] Kigami, J., *Analysis on fractals*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 143, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [19] Kimball, J.C. and Frisch, H.L., "Diffusion through foams and fractal-like cellular solids", *Physical Review A*, 43 (1991), no. 4, 1840 1948.
- [20] Kusuoka, S., "A diffusion process on a fractal", *Probabilistic methods in mathematical physics (Katata/Kyoto, 1985)*, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1987, pp.251 274.
- [21] Li, W. and Strichartz, R.S. "Boundary value problems on a half Sierpinski gasket" *J. Fractal Geom.* 1 (2014), no. 1, 1 43.
- [22] Löbus, Jörg-Uwe, "Constructions and generators of one-dimensional quasidiffusions with applications to self-affine diffusions and Brownian motion on the Cantor set", *Stochastics Stochastics Rep.*, 42 (1993), no. 2, 93 114.
- [23] Mandelbrot, B.B., *Fractals: form, chance and dimension*, revised ed., W. H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, Calif., 1977, Translated from the French.
- [24] Mandelbrot, B.B., *The fractal geometry of nature*, Schriftenreihe für den Referenten, W. H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, Calif., 1982.
- [25] Shannon, C.E., "A mathematical theory of communication", Bell system technical journal, 27 (1948), no. 3, 379 423.
- [26] Sridharan, S. and Tikekar, S.N., "A Laplacian on the full shift space", arXiv e-print (2019), arXiv:1907.09139.
- [27] Strichartz, R. S., "Some properties of Laplacians on fractals", J. Funct. Anal. 164 (1999), no. 2, 181 208.
- [28] Zhou, D., "Spectral analysis of Laplacians on the Vicsek set" Pacific J. Math. 241 (2009), no. 2, 369–398.